

The Role of Services in Production and International Trade: A Theoretical  
Framework

Jones, Ronald W. and Henryk Kierzkowski

Working Paper No. 145  
July 1988

University of  
Rochester

THE ROLE OF SERVICES IN PRODUCTION AND  
INTERNATIONAL TRADE: A THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

Ronald W. Jones\*  
University of Rochester  
Rochester, New York 14627

and

Henryk Kierzkowski\*  
Graduate Institute of International Studies  
Geneva, Switzerland

Working Paper No. 145

July 1988

Revised Draft

\*This project has been undertaken under the auspices of the Institute for Research on Public Policy (IRPP), supported by a research grant from the Department of Regional Industrial Expansion (DRIE), Government of Canada. The authors wish to thank Elias Dinopoulos, Howard Gruenspecht, Douglas Irwin, Ulrich Kohli, Anne Krueger, Gustav Ranis, John Richardson, Paul Romer, Frances Ruane, and Frances Stewart for helpful comments. Jones's research is supported in part by the National Science Foundation Grant No. SES 8510697.



# The Role of Services in Production and International Trade:

## A Theoretical Framework

Ronald W. Jones  
University of Rochester

Henryk Kierzkowski  
Graduate Institute of International Studies, Geneva

### 1. Introduction

International trade in services is currently the subject of intense scrutiny among academics. Whereas most contemporary discussions of services attempt to uncover an all-encompassing definition of tertiary activities, in the present paper we deliberately pass on this issue, asking instead what services do. We share in common with other observers the conviction that it is important to liberalize regulations covering services and international trade, but depart from the dominant focus on establishing the determinants of comparative advantage in services. Instead of trying to ascertain which countries will end up exporting or providing services, we concentrate on the manner in which developments in the service sector have encouraged and promoted the general level of international trade in goods.

In asking what services do, we acknowledge the importance of retail activities in facilitating the absorption of the nation's output by its consumers. Other activities such as those provided by the medical and legal professions link in a more direct fashion producers and consumers of services. In the present paper we shift attention from these consumption activities to

the way in which services are involved in the production process. Two key concepts are introduced: production blocks and service links. The paper discusses how, with growth of a firm's output level, increasing returns and the advantages of specialization of factors within the firm encourage a switch to a production process with fragmented production blocks connected by service links. These links, bundles of activities consisting of coordination, administration, transportation, and financial services, are increasingly demanded when the fragmentation of the production process allows joint use of production blocks located in different regions.

Such fragmentation spills over to international markets. The greater disparity in productivities and factor prices found between countries (as compared to within a country) may encourage, via the Ricardian doctrine of comparative advantage, the use of several international locations for production blocks comprising a given production process. This dispersion is aided and abetted by the possible existence of increasing returns within production blocks.

It seems to us that one of the stylized facts characterizing recent developments in world trade is the fall in relative prices of many services, especially those found in the transportation and communication sectors.<sup>1</sup> This relative price change further encourages the process of fragmentation, whereby increasing use is made of disparate locations in which parts of the production process take place, with more intensive use required of connecting service links. Furthermore, it can be argued that technological advances in the

---

<sup>1</sup>Transport costs have been steadily declining for decades. North (1958) cites ocean freight costs in 1910 as one thirtieth of their level in 1800.

provision of services lower especially the relative costs of international coordination and communication. As services become cheaper, service links at the international level become more frequently and intensively utilized as integral ingredients in the production process.

Section 2 introduces our framework in the context of an economy trading only final commodities. The use which can be made of international markets earlier in the production process and the importance of recent developments in major service industries is spelled out in more detail in sections 3 and 4. In section 5 we relate our framework to Vernon's concept of the product cycle (1966), the importance of national and international returns to scale analysed in two basic papers by Ethier (1979, 1982), as well as to a recent contribution by Markusen (1986) applying Ethier's model to the issue of trade in services. In our concluding section we discuss a number of policy issues: liberalization under the Uruguay Round, fragmentation and North-South trade, and the role of government policies in influencing absolute advantage and attracting internationally mobile service inputs.

## 2. Services in the Process of Expansion and Fragmentation

Our framework is best revealed by considering an initial early stage in a production process, in which an integrated activity exists in a single location. Figure 1a depicts this early mode as a single production block. The use of service inputs is not absent at this early stage; they are required to coordinate activities within the production block as well as to connect production and consumption via distribution and marketing operations.

We assume that technology within the production block contains elements of increasing returns to scale. Although such scale economies may take many forms, we shall assume in our diagrammatic exposition that productive activities require fixed, or set-up, costs, and that marginal costs of operation are constant. Thus in Figure 2, line 1 depicts the manner in which total costs expand with scale of output. Vertical intercept  $Oa$  represents set-up and other fixed costs associated with the production block while the slope of line 1 shows marginal costs of the production run.

As production expands, alternative techniques embodying a greater division of labor may emerge as superior. Increased specialization of productive tasks and division of labor of the kind envisaged as early as Adam Smith could result in a fragmentation of the production block as illustrated in Figure 1b. In his classic presidential address, Allyn Young in 1928 emphasized the importance of Smith's views on the division of labor and remarked that

"...over a large part of the field of industry an increasingly intricate nexus of specialized undertakings has inserted itself between the producer of raw materials and the consumer of the final product" (p. 538)

We assume that such fragmentation alters the trade-off between fixed and variable costs; lower marginal costs of output are obtained at the expense of a greater total sum of fixed costs in the pair of production blocks. An illustration of the relationship between total costs and output for this fragmented technology is depicted by line 2 in Figure 2.

At this stage a new role emerges for service activities. The two production blocks pictured in Figure 1b need to be co-ordinated and linked by

use of service resources. The activities of the two production blocks cannot be combined without cost. Service links are required to join production blocks. These may include transportation costs if the separate physical location of production blocks warrants. At the minimum, there is a need to plan and synchronize the two streams of production with respect to timing, size and quality. These service links represent inputs additional to any service resources required within each production block. The total costs of production with fragmented technology, represented by line 2 in Figure 2, need to be augmented by the costs of the service link joining the two production blocks (to yield total cost line 2'). In Figure 2 we have illustrated these service costs as being somewhat independent of the scale of output (the vertical intercept is shifted from Ob to Oc and line 2' is parallel to line 2). However, if the costs of the service link are driven up with the level of production, line 2' could be drawn steeper than line 2. Marginal costs inclusive of services are still assumed to be lower than with the more concentrated techniques (1).

The process represented in Figure 2 can be repeated to higher orders (see Figure 3a), creating an increasing number of production blocks and connecting service links. Indeed, the process of industrial development has been historically documented to be one of increasing specialization and division of labor, resulting in a growing degree of fragmentation and an increasing role for producer services. Numerous patterns of interdependence among production blocks and service links can be envisaged. Figure 1c represents a production process whereby each production block utilizes as inputs the outputs of the preceding block. Figure 1d illustrates an alternative

grouping: the simultaneous operation of a pair of production blocks, the outputs of each requiring an assembly process at the final stage of fabrication.

This process of development, as illustrated in Figure 3 over several stages of fragmentation, embodies two sources contributing to continuously decreasing average costs. For any degree of fragmentation the combination of fixed costs and (fairly) constant marginal costs within production blocks (coupled with a relatively heavy fixed cost component in each service link) ensures that average costs decline with output. This rate of decline is accelerated at every point at which a switch is made to technologies incorporating a higher degree of fragmentation.

Figure 4 illustrates the dependence of marginal cost upon output as production growth encourages a switch to more fragmented technologies. Assuming production remains within the confines of a single firm and that market demand is less than infinitely elastic, the firm would maximize profits by selecting an output level at which marginal revenue equals marginal costs. However, there may be multiple intersections for any given marginal revenue curve. Consider that demand has grown sufficiently to support the  $MR_1$  curve in Figure 4. Point b shows marginal revenue equal to marginal cost, but is a point of local profit minimization - a small contraction or expansion of output would increase profits. The contenders are points a and c. Profits at a are clearly superior to those at c - a movement from a to c involves primarily marginal losses as marginal costs exceed marginal revenue with the lower order of fragmented technology, and fall short of marginal revenue only for the small stretch (from b to c) where the firm adopts the more fragmented technology.

If we envisage a smooth growth of demand, and with it an associated growth in marginal revenue schedules, at the critical  $MR_2$  curve (when shaded area  $\underline{e}$  equals area  $\underline{f}$ ) the firm could produce either  $q_0$  or  $q_1$ . For a slightly higher level of demand, output level slightly exceeds  $q_1$ . The range  $q_0q_1$  is never observed. That is, a smooth growth of demand leads to gradual transitions to more fragmented technologies, but the price drops corresponding to such transitions lead to jumps in output volumes. Such jumps are more noticeable if marginal revenue (and associated demand) are more elastic.

Little has been said so far about the role of firms and the relationship between the number of production blocks and service links and the number of firms. The idea that firms may wish to incorporate functions which might otherwise be provided less efficiently or at higher costs by market transactions has been stressed by Ronald Coase (1937) and his followers. However, the evolution of the production process, with its increasing complexity, opens up the possibility of vertical specialization and the appearance of new firms. In the limit every production block and service link might represent a separate firm. The producer of the final good located at the end of a production chain might rely completely on the market to supply necessary intermediate products and services.

The process of spinning off new firms could be re-enforced if various production blocks and service links can be utilized by more than one sector, or by more than one firm producing a differentiated product in the same sector. Telecommunication services, with high fixed costs, provide a good example of an activity which would be too costly to develop by a single firm

in a different industry. The firm would rely on the market. It is, on the other hand, possible that the emerging new production blocks and service links will be retained within the firm.

In our view the process of increasing fragmentation and use of service links is consistent either with patterns of development involving a greater scope of activity by a single firm or with heavier reliance upon the market to co-ordinate activities of newly emerging independent firms. For example, Stigler (1961) cites the case of the small-arms industry in Birmingham in 1860. The master gun-maker engaged in market transactions with independent manufacturers, each performing separate, differentiated tasks. An alternative is exemplified by a typical large U.S. corporation, with its own legal department, a fleet of corporate jets, publishing facilities and an internal transportation network. Even such a large corporation, however, is likely to rely on the market for some major inputs such as telecommunications and financial services.

Fragmentation involves extra costs. Recently firms have become more aware of how expensive it is to hold inventories. "Just-in-time" technology has been shown to be effective in holding down production costs.<sup>2</sup>

Improvements in computer technology and telecommunication have allowed a greater degree of reliability of deliveries and synchronization of output streams required by "just-in-time" inventories strategy.

---

<sup>2</sup>For an alternative view of the significance of "just-in-time" technology see Kumpe and Bolwijn (1988).

### 3. International Markets and the Production Process

International markets have not been excluded from the account of the development process described in the preceding section. Heretofore we assumed that goods appearing at the completion of the production process were traded on world markets, but that intermediate products and service inputs were not. The array of goods selected for production at home already reflected positions of comparative advantage and the further bias towards concentration encouraged by increasing returns to scale. As compared with complete autarky, the extent of specialization brought about by allowing free trade in final goods itself promotes welfare gains; the cut-back in the number of different production processes undertaken allows a higher degree of fragmentation in each.

The new possibility for international trade which we now wish to consider involves the role of services in linking production blocks across national boundaries. If the assumed overall position of comparative advantage in a particular good does not imply lower national costs for each production block and service link used, efficient production processes may involve a mixture of domestic and foreign activities. Recent developments in the world automobile industry have encouraged widespread trade in components. For example, in 1986 Japan ran a surplus in car-components trade with the United States to the tune of \$5.6 billion. Bosch of West Germany has captured 75 percent of the world market for anti-lock braking systems.<sup>3</sup> In 1983 around

---

<sup>3</sup>These figures come from the Economist, December 17, 1987, p.57.

60 percent of imports and exports for the United States and the Economic Community consisted of intermediate goods; consumer goods and capital goods counted for roughly 20 percent and 10 percent, respectively.<sup>4</sup>

Figure 5 displays cost comparisons for the same degree of fragmentation - two production blocks connected by a service link. Line H shows fixed and variable costs when both production blocks are located at home, and H' adds in the costs of the required service link. Suppose that in comparing each production block separately, the foreign country would have a lower marginal cost for the second block and the home country for the first. The combination of home first production block and foreign second block is represented by line M, and we assume the same fixed costs are involved as for line H. However, we also assume that the service costs of linking a domestic and a foreign production block are greater than those required if both blocks are nationally based. (In Figure 5 distance ca exceeds distance ba.) The possibility of service inputs linking internationally dispersed production units lowers the best cost-output line from beH' (i.e. line H') to broken line beM'.

In our framework, production blocks are each located entirely at a single location, but service links may involve inputs from more than one country, or, indeed, inputs from a third country. (Lloyd's of London could provide insurance for shipments of automobile parts from Canada to the United States.) In our illustration (Figure 5), we have assumed that the fixed costs associated with domestic production blocks are equivalent to those

---

<sup>4</sup>These figures are cited by Kol (1987).

found abroad. This assumption was purely arbitrary. If the foreign country possesses a cost advantage in the second production block, it might have been embodied in elements of fixed costs as much as in variable costs. What is less arbitrary is the assumption that the service cost of linking production blocks in more than one country exceed those involved in purely domestic links. However, even in this respect there could be exceptions. In the case of Canada, for example, connecting production blocks in British Columbia and Ontario may involve higher-priced service links, e.g. transportation, than required for British Columbia and the State of Washington.

Further insights into the manner in which international trade involving fragmented production blocks yields extra gains to producers can be obtained by looking at two basic models of trade, viz, Ricardo and Heckscher-Ohlin.

(1) A Ricardian Framework:

In the Ricardian context suppose that initially the home country uses two production blocks with the marginal labor input coefficients in each block denoted by  $a_{Li}$ . (Comparable input coefficients abroad are denoted by  $a_{Li}^*$ ). Assume that units of output in the two blocks must be matched 1-for-1 to obtain a unit of final output. Further assume that fixed costs within production blocks and between countries are identical. If no trade in producer components were allowed, let us assume that the home country possesses an overall comparative advantage in producing this commodity. Letting  $\underline{w}$  and  $\underline{w}^*$  represent wage rates in the two countries, such a ranking according to comparative advantage implies that:

$$\frac{a_{L1}^* + a_{L2}^*}{a_{L1} + a_{L2}} > \frac{w}{w^*}$$

Our assumption of the foreign country's superiority in the second block, and the home country's in the first block, is captured by the Ricardian inequality.

$$\frac{a_{L1}^*}{a_{L1}} > \frac{w}{w^*} > \frac{a_{L2}^*}{a_{L2}}$$

Allowing the foreign country to take over production of the second block would lower marginal costs and thus allow gains. For such rationalization of production to be undertaken, the scale of output would have to be sufficiently large that lower variable costs outweigh the extra costs of international service links.

(ii) A Heckscher-Ohlin Model:

Whereas a Ricardian framework allows us to focus on the possibility that the relative efficiency of labor varies among countries and commodities and, further, from one production block to another, a Heckscher-Ohlin framework recognizes the use of many factors in the production process. The factor intensities required in one production block may differ from those in

another. (We ignore, here, the further possibility that service links as well require factor proportions which might differ from country to country. Indeed, one possibility is that service links are provided by the lowest-cost source in world markets).

To take a concrete example, suppose that the first of a two-part fragmented technology for producing a certain commodity is more capital-intensive than the second. Factor endowments differ between countries, and suppose the foreign country is relatively so well endowed with labor that even with free trade allowed in parts of the production process factor prices are not equalized. If international service links can be forged, relatively cheap labor abroad and cheap capital at home could establish the basis for an internationally mixed production process. The international market place, with its variety of factor productivities (Ricardo) and factor prices and factor intensities (Heckscher-Ohlin) provides the richer possibilities associated with trade in production blocks according to comparative advantage to add gains to those associated with increasing returns and fragmentation as the scale of output expands.

The hypothetical comparison which we have been making is between trade patterns in a world in which trade takes place only in final commodities, with each country responsible for the entire integrated production process involved in its final exports, and those in which the production process can be fragmented so that production blocks for a given final output can be located in a number of countries. If each production block is identified with only one final output and if each final output is produced in only one country, allowing trade in components of the production process could be

expected to yield gains from world resource reallocation more closely corresponding to lines of comparative advantage. However, the potential for gain is even greater if final goods trade reflects a sizeable degree of intra-industry trade and/or if production blocks can in principle be used to support output of completely different industries. In such cases the existence of service activities providing international links for production blocks opens up the possibility of an even greater degree of international specialization, with concurrent gains from increasing returns to scale and greater degrees of fragmentation. The automobile example cited previously illustrates how the output of one component (antilock braking systems) can be used in a number of differentiated products. Furthermore, some service links, e.g., those exemplified by telecommunications, may be required by production blocks used in a wide variety of different industries.

Whatever the sources of comparative advantage, the possibility that separate production blocks can be dispersed in their geographical location increases the chances for less developed countries to participate to some extent in the industrialization process. In a world in which all production blocks must be located in a single country in an integrated process, less developed regions always have a comparative advantage in some commodities. But these may represent agricultural or raw-material extraction activities in which, we suppose, labor does not possess the opportunity to acquire sets of skills which are associated with certain types of learning-by-doing. The role of services in fostering the fragmentation of the production process over a number of different countries becomes important. Through such fragmentation countries may partake in some part of industrial activity even when a comparative advantage in the integrated process is still out of reach.

#### 4. Price Changes and the Role of Services in Trade

Recent decades have witnessed a technological revolution in service sectors. This would certainly have surprised Adam Smith. The very man who brought us the concept of gains from the division of labor viewed services as being "unproductive of any value". A more muted modern view would still claim that services tend to get left behind in society's steady march on the technological front. The source for such a view stems in large part from identifying service activities as extremely labor intensive. Furthermore, services tend to be associated with sheltered non-traded sectors.<sup>5</sup>

The type of inputs required for service links in the production process shares few of these characteristics. Foremost among these inputs must be ranked telecommunications and financial services. Rapid technological change has increased the ease and reduced the cost of linking different production blocks. Furthermore, domestic deregulation pursued by governments in countries such as the United States, Canada, and the United Kingdom has accelerated the pace of these cost reductions.

Economies in the cost of providing service links promote the process of fragmentation. Total production costs fall for any given level of output, and a switch to a more diversified production process can be attained at lower levels of output. This is illustrated in Figure 2. Lower service costs shift line 2' downwards, moving switch-point d southwestwards along line 1.

---

<sup>5</sup>See Balassa (1964) and Bhagwati (1984), as well as the literature on the so-called Scandinavian model of inflation.

We would argue that the type of technological breakthroughs and innovations that has characterized sectors such as telecommunications, transportation and financial services has had especially pronounced effects in reducing the relative costs of international service links. A bank manager in New York can communicate with an associate in Hong Kong as rapidly and almost as cheaply as he can with a colleague in Chicago. National boundaries scarcely impede transmission of large bodies of data. By utilizing recent innovations such as FAX machines, a fashion designer in Paris can transmit graphic details and instructions to cutting-room floors in Taipei instantly and at a fraction of the costs of international courier service.

The clothing industry provides an interesting example of how relative costs, reliability and speed of providing service links influence the internationalization of the production process. Spurred by the U.S offshore assembly (807) scheme, the American textile industry profited from a finer international division of labor by reallocating some production stages to developing countries. As pointed out by Morawetz (1981), Colombia and several Far East Asian countries were in direct competition for the task of assembling fabrics which had been cut in the United States. Colombia was a winner in this competition in the early 1970s with the outcome largely determined by its favorable geographical location, which resulted in relatively low costs of airfreight and telecommunications service links. Morawetz points out that national macro and exchange rate policies soon reversed the Colombian cost advantage. In any case, technological advances in transportation and telecommunications since that time have done much to remove the advantage which proximity to markets conveys.

Service links have benefitted from learning-by-doing at the international level. Decades of rapid growth of international trade and expansion of foreign investment resulted in an accumulation of a wealth of knowledge about foreign countries, their markets, and their political systems. Business firms are especially concerned with property rights and procedures for contract enforcement available to non-nationals. The legal climate in which international transactions are undertaken now seems less hostile and more predictable. As a consequence of these developments, the scope for international participation and interpenetration of markets at the production level has been greatly expanded. The reduction in the cost of services generally has fostered increased fragmentation and division of labor in production; the greater relative cost reductions for services linking international operations have had a profound effect in stimulating the use of international markets at every stage of the production process. This, we would claim, is the primary connection between services and international trade. One of the stylized facts of international commerce is the increasingly large share of trade represented by exchanges of producer goods and middle products.

We would further argue that the reduction of uncertainty regarding foreign production activities and the greater reliability provided by international service links are especially important in encouraging trade within the production process. The precision of delivery dates, for example, is of much more concern to producers weighing the possibility of repeated reliance on foreign components than it is to individuals who are engaged in one-shot purchases of the final consumer good.

Just as in the domestic sphere, we emphasize that the process of increasing fragmentation and use of international markets does not preclude a variety of organizational structures for firms. Although it may be in the firm's interest to avoid arms-length international transactions in favor of establishing a multi-national presence, our framework encompasses as well inter-connected production processes involving many firms. Certainly many of the service links could be provided by outside suppliers, perhaps some of them multi-nationals in their own right.

#### 5. Comparisons with Existing Models

Currently it is fashionable in discussions of international trade theory to elevate the phenomenon of increasing returns to scale to the level of importance at least equal to that of comparative advantage in explaining sources of gains from trade. We have described a framework which highlights the role of services in encouraging international trade. In this framework the traditional grounds for international trade based on the doctrine of comparative advantage have been supplemented by two ways in which production processes exhibit decreasing costs. Our treatment of increasing returns to scale owes much to Ethier's (1979, 1982) fundamental papers. However, we have pursued a less formal modelling strategy and differ in the manner in which we interpret the relationship between international trade and increasing returns.

National increasing returns to scale in Ethier's 1982 paper are embodied in cost functions which relate bundles of factors linearly to levels of

national output. These functions can be interpreted as combining elements of fixed and variable costs, a procedure we adopted in modelling increasing returns within a production block.<sup>6</sup> Each such process yields as output a "component" which differs from any other "component", albeit produced in an entirely symmetrical fashion. These components, some of which (in a trading context) will be produced abroad, are combined in a production function for "finished manufactures" which allows for increasing returns from the use of a larger array of components. The form of this latter function is similar to that presented by Dixit and Stiglitz (1977) in a different context - one showing how an individual can benefit from having access to a wider variety of consumer goods.<sup>7</sup> Ethier's production function for finished manufactures expresses what he labels international returns to scale.

There is no analog in Ethier's formulation to the role of services in linking production blocks, with or without international trade. Instead, his components are costlessly assembled. International increasing returns are introduced by allowing trade in components, thereby increasing the variety of components available to any given producer at any output level. By stark contrast, in our framework it is an expansion in the scale of output encouraged by growth in demand (whether domestic or international) which leads to an increased degree of fragmentation in the production process. The consequences of opening up trade in producer components in our model and in

---

<sup>6</sup>Earlier use of this simple method of capturing increasing returns to scale can be found in Krugman (1979).

<sup>7</sup>Romer (1987) gives a continuum version of the Dixit-Stiglitz function and applies it to growth in a closed-economy context.

Ethier's are thus fundamentally different. In the Ethier formulation, producers seize upon the possibility of utilizing a greater variety of components in order to expand output because his international increasing returns depend on the extent of variety and not, as in our treatment, on the scale of output and the attendant degree of fragmentation. In our framework the potential for international dispersion of production blocks, made possible by connecting service links, yields gains to the extent that a finer degree of disaggregation and specialization according to comparative advantage result in traditional fashion in greater efficiency in resource allocation. This is a feature absent in Ethier's model.

Markusen (1986) builds directly upon the Ethier framework in his discussion of services and trade. If trade in producer goods is allowed, it must be trade in services, for the "components" in the Ethier model are re-defined as producer services. This interpretation of the role of services differs sharply from ours. In our treatment services may or may not be traded; their main function in trade is in allowing fragmentation over production blocks located both at home and abroad.

Some of the concepts underlying the "product cycle" introduced by Ray Vernon (1966) are present in our formulation. Early stages in the cycle of development of a product are located in a country having available a host of potentially usable factors and skills, because the techniques required in product development are still uncertain. As this uncertainty gets resolved, and production techniques simplified, the location of production may shift abroad if a foreign source has a comparative advantage with the new,

simplified, techniques.<sup>8</sup> Like our treatment, Vernon allows for the international relocation of a production process. Missing, however, is the same use of comparative advantage to argue that part of the production process be located at home and part abroad. Our framework, focussing on the development of separate production blocks connected by service links, opens up a scenario in which the production process can be finely divided into stages. The international location of each block (or stage) is heavily influenced by international comparisons of factor prices and productivities, with the scale of output indicating the extent to which the entire process can be fragmented.

## 6. Concluding Remarks

Our framework can shed some light on issues under discussion in the Uruguay Round and in particular on consequences of international trade liberalization in services for North-South trade. Countries such as India and Brazil have expressed fears that comparative advantage in service activities reside in more highly developed countries. Even if one is willing to assume that the most efficient providers of service links are all located in the developed countries, it has to be realized that liberalization of services and a subsequent fragmentation of production could result in a finer

---

<sup>8</sup>It is perhaps tempting to use the Dixit-Stiglitz formulation to model the advantages which having a wide array of productive factors available conveys when uncertainty exists as to technology.

international division of labor in which developing countries could actively share. Certain production blocks, especially the ones requiring labor-intensive techniques, could be more cheaply produced in LDCs. The gains from liberalization of trade in services would then manifest themselves in a greater participation of developing countries in goods trade. It is therefore important that the participants in the Uruguay Round see gains and losses in the overall context rather than in the context of service sectors alone.

Consider the position of a country which does not have a comparative advantage in supplying services on the world market. What should its stance be towards negotiations aimed at liberalizing service trade? We have already emphasized that such a country's export activities can gain by virtue of less expensive service links which allow some production blocks within the country to be made part of an international production process. Furthermore, the literature on the Dutch Disease alerts us to the consequences for trade in traditional export sectors of technological progress in one sector—in this case a service sector. If services become freely tradeable, their use is available not only to the source country but to others as well. However, the extra activity in the source country could, by raising wage rates or other input prices, hurt the relative international position of other industries in the source country compared with similar industries abroad. That is, less developed countries may think they will not succeed in the competition for internationally liberated service activities, but as consumers they may have

relatively more to gain in service-using sectors than do the developed countries.<sup>9</sup>

Even if trade in services is opened up, a less developed country may deal with outside service activities more harshly relative to other countries vis-a-vis domestic regulations (e.g., concerning banking or the financial sector) or levels of taxation or of uncertainty in terms of governmental attitudes towards private business activity within its borders. Here it is important to emphasize that differences between nations in governmental policies, domestic regulations and levels of taxation all bear upon patterns of international trade in a manner which is absent if international exchange is limited to final consumer goods. Trade in the latter instance is governed by comparative advantage; high business taxes, for example, may hit all local activities fairly evenly and thus not affect the comparatively best assignment of resources and factors which are trapped behind a nation's boundaries. But once trade seeps down into factors and inputs utilized in the production process, absolute levels of government interference bear upon the ability of one country's production blocks to come in at a lower cost than those of another country. The traditional question, what should a nation's own immobile resources do (answered by criteria of comparative advantage), needs to be supplemented by asking where internationally mobile service inputs are employed (answered in part by criteria of relative attractiveness of one

---

<sup>9</sup>This argument is described in further detail in Jones, Neary, and Ruane (1987).

country versus another as a stable locale to host internationally connected productive activities).<sup>10</sup>

We conclude by emphasizing what we stated at the outset. We are concentrating on one of the things which services do in the production process: Service links have the function of connecting production blocks in separate locations, perhaps among several countries. But other roles are available for services. Aside from those mentioned earlier, whereby services are utilized within production blocks and aid in marketing the product for consumption, we should note the role of services in research and development. More broadly interpreted, services may be used to explore future possibilities for fragmentation and re-alignments within the production process, in a manner going beyond their operational role in bilaterally linking pairs of production blocks. In all these uses, services are important for the manner in which international commerce is encouraged, whatever their direct status in trade.

---


<sup>10</sup>This issue is discussed in more detail in Jones (1980).

### References


- Balassa, Bela (1964): "The Purchasing-Power Parity Doctrine: A Reappraisal", Journal of Political Economy, (December).
- Bhagwati, Jagdish (1984): "Why are Services Cheaper in the Poor Countries?", Economic Journal, (June).
- Coase, Ronald (1937): "The Nature of the Firm", Economica (November) pp. 386-405.
- Dixit, Avinash and Joseph Stiglitz (1977): "Monopolistic Competition and Optimal Product Diversity", American Economic Review, (June), Vol. 67, pp. 297-308.
- Ethier, Wilfred J. (1979): "Internationally Decreasing Costs and World Trade", Journal of International Economics, 9, pp. 1-24.
- Ethier, Wilfred J. (1982): "National and International Returns to Scale in the Modern Theory of International Trade", American Economic Review, June, 72, No. 3, pp. 389-405.
- Jones, Ronald W. (1980): "Comparative and Absolute Advantage", Swiss Journal of Economics, pp 235-60.
- Jones, Ronald, P. Neary and F. Ruane (1987): "International Capital Mobility and the Dutch Disease", in Kierzkowski (ed), Protection and Competition in International Trade (Blackwell).
- Kol, Jacob (1987): "Allyn Young Specialization and Intermediate Goods in International Trade", (Mimeo).
- Krugman, Paul (1979): "Increasing Returns, Monopolistic Competition, and International Trade", Journal of International Economics, 9, 4 (November), pp. 469-79.
- Kumpe, Ted and Piet Bolwijn (1988): "Manufacturing: The New Case for Vertical Integration", Harvard Business Review (March-April), pp. 75-81.
- Markusen, James R. (1986): "Trade in Producer Services: Issues Involving Returns to Scale and the International Division of Labour", Discussion Paper, Series on Trade in Services, The Institute for Research on Public Policy.
- Morawetz, David (1981): Why the Emperor's New Clothes are Not Made in Colombia (Oxford University Press).
- North, Doug (1958): "Ocean Freight Rates and Economic Development 1750-1913", Journal of Economic History, Vol. 18, No. 4, pp. 537-55.

- Romer, Paul (1987): "Growth Based on Increasing Returns Due to Specialization", American Economic Review, (May), Vol. 77, pp. 56-62.
- Stigler, George (1951): "The Division of Labor is Limited by the Extent of the Market", Journal of Political Economy, 59, No. 3, (June).
- Vernon, Ray (1966): "International Investment and International Trade in the Product Cycle", Quarterly Journal of Economics, Vol. 80, pp. 190-207.
- Young, Allyn (1928): "Increasing Returns and Economic Progress", Economic Journal (December), vol. 38, pp. 527-42.


1a



1b



1c



1d




Figure 1




Figure 2




Figure 3




Figure 4




Figure 5



Rochester Center for Economic Research  
University of Rochester  
Department of Economics  
Rochester, NY 14627

1987-88 DISCUSSION PAPERS

- WP#68 RECURSIVE UTILITY AND OPTIMAL CAPITAL ACCUMULATION, I: EXISTENCE,  
by Robert A. Becker, John H. Boyd III, and Bom Yong Sung, January  
1987
- WP#69 MONEY AND MARKET INCOMPLETENESS IN OVERLAPPING-GENERATIONS MODELS,  
by Marianne Baxter, January 1987
- WP#70 GROWTH BASED ON INCREASING RETURNS DUE TO SPECIALIZATION  
by Paul M. Romer, January 1987
- WP#71 WHY A STUBBORN CONSERVATIVE WOULD RUN A DEFICIT: POLICY WITH  
TIME-INCONSISTENT PREFERENCES  
by Torsten Persson and Lars E.O. Svensson, January 1987
- WP#72 ON THE CONTINUUM APPROACH OF SPATIAL AND SOME LOCAL PUBLIC GOODS OR  
PRODUCT DIFFERENTIATION MODELS  
by Marcus Berliant and Thijs ten Raa, January 1987
- WP#73 THE QUIT-LAYOFF DISTINCTION: GROWTH EFFECTS  
by Kenneth J. McLaughlin, February 1987
- WP#74 SOCIAL SECURITY, LIQUIDITY, AND EARLY RETIREMENT  
by James A. Kahn, March 1987
- WP#75 THE PRODUCT CYCLE HYPOTHESIS AND THE HECKSCHER-OHLIN-SAMUELSON THEORY  
OF INTERNATIONAL TRADE  
by Sugata Marjit, April 1987
- WP#76 NOTIONS OF EQUAL OPPORTUNITIES  
by William Thomson, April 1987
- WP#77 BARGAINING PROBLEMS WITH UNCERTAIN DISAGREEMENT POINTS  
by Youngsub Chun and William Thomson, April 1987
- WP#78 THE ECONOMICS OF RISING STARS  
by Glenn M. MacDonald, April 1987
- WP#79 STOCHASTIC TRENDS AND ECONOMIC FLUCTUATIONS  
by Robert King, Charles Plosser, James Stock, and Mark Watson,  
April 1987
- WP#80 INTEREST RATE SMOOTHING AND PRICE LEVEL TREND-STATIONARITY  
by Marvin Goodfriend, April 1987
- WP#81 THE EQUILIBRIUM APPROACH TO EXCHANGE RATES  
by Alan C. Stockman, revised, April 1987

- WP#82 INTEREST-RATE SMOOTHING  
by Robert J. Barro, May 1987
- WP#83 CYCLICAL PRICING OF DURABLE LUXURIES  
by Mark Bilis, May 1987
- WP#84 EQUILIBRIUM IN COOPERATIVE GAMES OF POLICY FORMULATION  
by Thomas F. Cooley and Bruce D. Smith, May 1987
- WP#85 RENT SHARING AND TURNOVER IN A MODEL WITH EFFICIENCY UNITS OF HUMAN CAPITAL  
by Kenneth J. McLaughlin, revised, May 1987
- WP#86 THE CYCLICALITY OF LABOR TURNOVER: A JOINT WEALTH MAXIMIZING HYPOTHESIS  
by Kenneth J. McLaughlin, revised, May 1987
- WP#87 CAN EVERYONE BENEFIT FROM GROWTH? THREE DIFFICULTIES  
by Herve' Moulin and William Thomson, May 1987
- WP#88 TRADE IN RISKY ASSETS  
by Lars E.O. Svensson, May 1987
- WP#89 RATIONAL EXPECTATIONS MODELS WITH CENSORED VARIABLES  
by Marianne Baxter, June 1987
- WP#90 EMPIRICAL EXAMINATIONS OF THE INFORMATION SETS OF ECONOMIC AGENTS  
by Nils Gottfries and Torsten Persson, June 1987
- WP#91 DO WAGES VARY IN CITIES? AN EMPIRICAL STUDY OF URBAN LABOR MARKETS  
by Eric A. Hanushek, June 1987
- WP#92 ASPECTS OF TOURNAMENT MODELS: A SURVEY  
by Kenneth J. McLaughlin, July 1987
- WP#93 ON MODELLING THE NATURAL RATE OF UNEMPLOYMENT WITH INDIVISIBLE LABOR  
by Jeremy Greenwood and Gregory W. Huffman
- WP#94 TWENTY YEARS AFTER: ECONOMETRICS, 1966-1986  
by Adrian Pagan, August 1987
- WP#95 ON WELFARE THEORY AND URBAN ECONOMICS  
by Marcus Berliant, Yorgos Y. Papageorgiou and Ping Wang,  
August 1987
- WP#96 ENDOGENOUS FINANCIAL STRUCTURE IN AN ECONOMY WITH PRIVATE INFORMATION  
by James Kahn, August 1987
- WP#97 THE TRADE-OFF BETWEEN CHILD QUANTITY AND QUALITY: SOME EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE  
by Eric Hanushek, September 1987

- WP#98 SUPPLY AND EQUILIBRIUM IN AN ECONOMY WITH LAND AND PRODUCTION  
by Marcus Berliant and Hou-Wen Jeng, September 1987
- WP#99 AXIOMS CONCERNING UNCERTAIN DISAGREEMENT POINTS FOR 2-PERSON  
BARGAINING PROBLEMS  
by Youngsub Chun, September 1987
- WP#100 MONEY AND INFLATION IN THE AMERICAN COLONIES: FURTHER EVIDENCE ON  
THE FAILURE OF THE QUANTITY THEORY  
by Bruce Smith, October 1987
- WP#101 BANK PANICS, SUSPENSIONS, AND GEOGRAPHY: SOME NOTES ON THE  
"CONTAGION OF FEAR" IN BANKING  
by Bruce Smith, October 1987
- WP#102 LEGAL RESTRICTIONS, "SUNSPOTS", AND CYCLES  
by Bruce Smith, October 1987
- WP#103 THE QUIT-LAYOFF DISTINCTION IN A JOINT WEALTH MAXIMIZING APPROACH TO  
LABOR TURNOVER  
by Kenneth McLaughlin, October 1987
- WP#104 ON THE INCONSISTENCY OF THE MLE IN CERTAIN HETEROSKEDASTIC REGRESSION  
MODELS  
by Adrian Pagan and H. Sabau, October 1987
- WP#105 RECURRENT ADVERTISING  
by Ignatius J. Horstmann and Glenn M. MacDonald, October 1987
- WP#106 PREDICTIVE EFFICIENCY FOR SIMPLE NONLINEAR MODELS  
by Thomas F. Cooley, William R. Parke and Siddhartha Chib,  
October 1987
- WP#107 CREDIBILITY OF MACROECONOMIC POLICY: AN INTRODUCTION AND A BROAD  
SURVEY  
by Torsten Persson, November 1987
- WP#108 SOCIAL CONTRACTS AS ASSETS: A POSSIBLE SOLUTION TO THE  
TIME-CONSISTENCY PROBLEM  
by Laurence Kotlikoff, Torsten Persson and Lars E. O. Svensson,  
November 1987
- WP#109 EXCHANGE RATE VARIABILITY AND ASSET TRADE  
by Torsten Persson and Lars E. O. Svensson, November 1987
- WP#110 MICROFOUNDATIONS OF INDIVISIBLE LABOR  
by Vittorio Grilli and Richard Rogerson, November 1987
- WP#111 FISCAL POLICIES AND THE DOLLAR/POUND EXCHANGE RATE: 1870-1984  
by Vittorio Grilli, November 1987
- WP#112 INFLATION AND STOCK RETURNS WITH COMPLETE MARKETS  
by Thomas Cooley and Jon Sonstelie, November 1987

- WP#113 THE ECONOMETRIC ANALYSIS OF MODELS WITH RISK TERMS  
by Adrian Pagan and Aman Ullah, December 1987
- WP#114 PROGRAM TARGETING OPTIONS AND THE ELDERLY  
by Eric Hanushek and Roberton Williams, December 1987
- WP#115 BARGAINING SOLUTIONS AND STABILITY OF GROUPS  
by Youngsub Chun and William Thomson, December 1987
- WP#116 MONOTONIC ALLOCATION MECHANISMS  
by William Thomson, December 1987
- WP#117 MONOTONIC ALLOCATION MECHANISMS IN ECONOMIES WITH PUBLIC GOODS  
by William Thomson, December 1987
- WP#118 ADVERSE SELECTION, AGGREGATE UNCERTAINTY, AND THE ROLE FOR MUTUAL  
INSURANCE COMPANIES  
by Bruce D. Smith and Michael J. Stutzer, February 1988
- WP#119 INTEREST ON RESERVES AND SUNSPOT EQUILIBRIA: FRIEDMAN'S PROPOSAL  
RECONSIDERED  
by Bruce D. Smith, February 1988
- WP#120 INTERNATIONAL FINANCIAL INTERMEDIATION AND AGGREGATE FLUCTUATIONS  
UNDER ALTERNATIVE EXCHANGE RATE REGIMES  
by Jeremy Greenwood and Stephen D. Williamson, February 1988
- WP#121 FINANCIAL DEREGULATION, MONETARY POLICY, AND CENTRAL BANKING  
by Marvin Goodfriend and Robert G. King, February 1988
- WP#122 BANK RUNS IN OPEN ECONOMIES AND THE INTERNATIONAL TRANSMISSION OF  
PANICS  
by Peter M. Garber and Vittorio U. Grilli, March 1988
- WP#123 CAPITAL ACCUMULATION IN THE THEORY OF LONG RUN GROWTH  
by Paul M. Romer, March 1988
- WP#124 FINANCIAL INTERMEDIATION AND ENDOGENOUS GROWTH  
by Valerie R. Bencivenga and Bruce D. Smith, March 1988
- WP#125 UNEMPLOYMENT, THE VARIABILITY OF HOURS, AND THE PERSISTENCE OF  
"DISTURBANCES": A PRIVATE INFORMATION APPROACH  
by Bruce D. Smith, March 1988
- WP#126 WHAT CAN BE DONE WITH BAD SCHOOL PERFORMANCE DATA?  
by Eric Hanushek and Lori Taylor, March 1988
- WP#127 EQUILIBRIUM MARKETING STRATEGIES: IS THERE ADVERTISING, IN TRUTH?  
by Ignatius Horstmann and Glenn MacDonald, revised, March 1988
- WP#128 REAL EXCHANGE RATE VARIABILITY UNDER PEGGED AND FLOATING NOMINAL  
EXCHANGE RATE SYSTEMS: AN EQUILIBRIUM THEORY  
by Alan C. Stockman, April 1988

- WP#129 POST-SAMPLE PREDICTION TESTS FOR GENERALIZED METHOD OF MOMENT ESTIMATORS  
by Dennis Hoffman and Adrian Pagan, April 1988
- WP#130 GOVERNMENT SPENDING IN A SIMPLE MODEL OF ENDOGENOUS GROWTH  
by Robert J. Barro, May 1988
- WP#131 FINANCIAL DEVELOPMENT, GROWTH, AND THE DISTRIBUTION OF INCOME  
by Jeremy Greenwood and Boyan Jovanovic, May 1988
- WP#132 EMPLOYMENT AND HOURS OVER THE BUSINESS CYCLE  
by Jang-Ok Cho and Thomas F. Cooley, May 1988
- WP#133 A REFINEMENT AND EXTENSION OF THE NO-ENVY CONCEPT  
by Dimitrios Diamantaras and William Thomson, May 1988
- WP#134 NASH SOLUTION AND UNCERTAIN DISAGREEMENT POINTS  
by Youngsub Chun and William Thomson, May 1988
- WP#135 NON-PARAMETRIC ESTIMATION AND THE RISK PREMIUM  
by Adrian Pagan and Y. Hong, May 1988
- WP#136 CHARACTERIZING THE NASH BARGAINING SOLUTION WITHOUT PARETO-OPTIMALITY  
by Terje Lensberg and William Thomson, May 1988
- WP#137 SOME SIMULATION STUDIES OF NON-PARAMETRIC ESTIMATORS  
by Y. Hong and A. Pagan, June 1988
- WP#138 SELF-FULFILLING EXPECTATIONS, SPECULATIVE ATTACKS AND CAPITAL CONTROLS  
by Harris Dellas and Alan C. Stockman, June 1988
- WP#139 APPROXIMATING SUBOPTIMAL DYNAMIC EQUILIBRIA: AN EULER EQUATION APPROACH  
by Marianne Baxter, June 1988
- WP#140 BUSINESS CYCLES AND THE EXCHANGE RATE SYSTEM: SOME INTERNATIONAL EVIDENCE  
by Marianne Baxter and Alan C. Stockman, June 1988
- WP#141 RENT SHARING IN AN EQUILIBRIUM MODEL OF MATCHING AND TURNOVER  
by Kenneth J. McLaughlin, June 1988
- WP#142 CO-MOVEMENTS IN RELATIVE COMMODITY PRICES AND INTERNATIONAL CAPITAL FLOWS: A SIMPLE MODEL  
by Ronald W. Jones, July 1988
- WP#143 WAGE SENSITIVITY RANKINGS AND TEMPORAL CONVERGENCE  
by Ronald W. Jones and Peter Neary, July 1988
- WP#144 FOREIGN MONOPOLY AND OPTIMAL TARIFFS FOR THE SMALL OPEN ECONOMY  
by Ronald W. Jones and Shumpei Takemori, July 1988

WP#145 THE ROLE OF SERVICES IN PRODUCTION AND INTERNATIONAL TRADE: A  
THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK  
by Ronald W. Jones and Henryk Kierzkowski, July 1988

To order copies of the above papers complete the attached invoice and return to Christine Massaro, W. Allen Wallis Institute of Political Economy, RCER, 109B Harkness Hall, University of Rochester, Rochester, NY 14627. Three (3) papers per year will be provided free of charge as requested below. Each additional paper will require a \$5.00 service fee which must be enclosed with your order. For your convenience an invoice is provided below in order that you may request payment from your institution as necessary. Please make your check payable to the **Rochester Center for Economic Research**. Checks must be drawn from a U.S. bank and in U.S. dollars.

---

W. Allen Wallis Institute for Political Economy

Rochester Center for Economic Research, Working Paper Series

---

**OFFICIAL INVOICE**

Requestor's Name \_\_\_\_\_

Requestor's Address \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

Please send me the following papers free of charge (**Limit: 3 free per year**).

WP# \_\_\_\_\_ WP# \_\_\_\_\_ WP# \_\_\_\_\_

I understand there is a \$5.00 fee for each additional paper. Enclosed is my check or money order in the amount of \$\_\_\_\_\_. Please send me the following papers.

WP# \_\_\_\_\_ WP# \_\_\_\_\_ WP# \_\_\_\_\_

WP# \_\_\_\_\_ WP# \_\_\_\_\_ WP# \_\_\_\_\_

WP# \_\_\_\_\_ WP# \_\_\_\_\_ WP# \_\_\_\_\_

WP# \_\_\_\_\_ WP# \_\_\_\_\_ WP# \_\_\_\_\_