Rochester Center for

Economic Research

A Note on Income Taxation and the Core

Berliant, Marcus

Working Paper No. 63 December 1986.

<u>University of</u> <u>Rochester</u> A NOTE

ON

INCOME TAXATION AND THE CORE

by

Marcus Berliant*

Working Paper No. 63

December 1986

*Department of Economics, University of Rochester, Rochester, NY 14627 USA. The author thanks E. Hanushek for helpful comments and the National Science Foundation for financial support through grant #SES-8605629. The author bears full responsibility for the contents.

Since the important work of Mirrlees(1971), economists have been concerned with refining the necessary conditions for a utilitarian-optimal income tax schedule given resource constraints and incentive compatibility constraints. The incentive constraints are based on the notion that individuals' wage levels or characteristics are unknown to the government, so the optimal income tax schedule must separate individuals and is therefore generally second best.

The necessary conditions for optimization generally include a zero marginal tax rate for both the highest and lowest wage individuals. Intuitive and algebraic derivations of this result can be found in Seade (1977), whose framework we employ below.

The purpose of this note is to examine the core of this model in order to find further necessary conditions on optimal (or core) tax schedules. The utilitarian framework translates directly into a transferable utility framework in the context of cooperative game theory. We retain the incentive constraints for coalitions that may form; coalitions also must separate individuals.

Following Seade (1977), we describe consumers' characteristics by a single variable $h \in [\underline{h}, \overline{h}]$. The distribution of consumer characteristics or wage levels has density f(h). x = x(h) is the vector of N commodities consumed by a person of type h after taxes. $U^{h} = u(x(h), h)$ is a cardinal utility function for consumers of type h. Let θ be the (closed) choice set for all consumers $(x(h) \in \theta)$. The utilitarian problem is to maximize

$$W \equiv \int_{\underline{h}}^{\overline{h}} u(\mathbf{x}(h), h) f(h) dh.$$

The feasibility constraints for consumption and production are given by

$$\int_{\underline{h}}^{\overline{h}} \mathbf{x}(h) f(h) dh \leq X$$

and

respectively.

The individual behavior constraint is obtained by imposing the condition that $\operatorname{argmax} u(x(h),h') = h'$, so that consumers do not misrepresent themselves. h Thus,

$$u_{\mathbf{X}}\mathbf{X}' = \mathbf{C}$$

where u_x is the partial derivative of u with respect to x and x' is the gradient of x (with respect to h). The assumptions and a theorem of Seade (1977) are stated next.

<u>Assumption 1:</u> $u(\cdot,h)$ is continuous, monotonic, strictly concave and everywhere differentiable in x. Besides, u_{ih} is bounded for $0 \ll \infty \ll \infty$. <u>Assumption 2:</u> The density f(h) does not oscillate infinitely often as h changes.

<u>Assumption</u> <u>3</u>: The welfare function(al) is utilitarian and its integral over a zero-measure set of people is zero.

<u>Assumption 4:</u> The allocation vector function x(h) and the resulting tax schedule are continuous and smooth.

<u>Theorem (Seade)</u>: Consider an optimal tax regime and assume that assumptions 1-4 hold for <u>h</u>. Then if $0 \ll x(\underline{h}) \ll \infty$, all marginal taxes must be zero at <u>h</u>. Similarly for <u>h</u>.

This holds for any desired revenue collection, since we can embed such a collection in φ . Next, we proceed to define the core. A <u>coalition</u> is a density c on $[h,\bar{h}]$ with $c(h) \leq f(h)$ a.s.

To each coalition c we associate a production possibility set ϕ^c . This set can capture various revenue collections required from coalitions as well as coalition endowments and technologies. We do not require any continuity or concavity of ϕ^c as c varies. As will become obvious, these properties are not relevant to the problem at hand. For a fixed coalition c, an after tax consumption vector x(h) is <u>c-feasible</u> if

$$\int_{\underline{h}}^{\underline{h}} \mathbf{x}(h) \mathbf{c}(h) dh \leq X, \qquad (1)$$

$$\Phi^{\mathbf{c}}(X) \leq 0 \qquad (2)$$

and

 $\underset{h}{\operatorname{argmax}} u(x(h),h') = h' \forall h' \text{ with } c(h') > 0.$

Since we will deal only with coalitions that have support on an interval, the last condition is equivalent to

$$u_x' = 0 \quad \forall h' \text{ with } c(h') > 0 \tag{3}$$

for such coalitions.

For a given tax system and resulting allocation x(h), a coalition c is said to <u>block</u> x(h) if there is a c-feasible function $\overline{x}(h)$ with

$$\int_{\underline{h}}^{\overline{h}} u(\overline{x}(h),h)c(h)dh > \int_{\underline{h}}^{\overline{h}} u(x(h),h)c(h)dh.$$

Just as for the optimal income tax problem, this represents a transferable utility framework. The <u>core</u> of an income tax model is the set of tax systems or post-tax allocation vectors that are not blocked by any coalition.

<u>Theorem</u>: Suppose that assumptions 1-4 hold for all $h \in [\underline{h}, \overline{h}]$ and $0 \ll x(\underline{h}) \ll \infty$. Then a necessary condition for a tax system or its associated after-tax

allocation to be in the core is that marginal tax rates on $[\underline{h}, \overline{h}]$ must be zero. That is, any tax system in the core is a pure head tax.

<u>Proof:</u> Fix a, \overline{a} with $\underline{h} \leq \underline{a} \leq \overline{a} \leq \overline{h}$. Consider a coalition c defined by

$$c(h) = \begin{cases} f(h) \text{ for } h \in [\underline{a}, \overline{a}] \\ \\ 0 \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If x(h) is in the core, it must be that x(h) maximizes $\int_{h}^{\overline{h}} u(x(h),h)c(h)dh$

=
$$\int_{\underline{a}}^{\overline{a}} u(x(h),h)f(h)dh$$
 subject to (1), (2), and (3). Using the theorem of Seade

cited earlier, it must be that the marginal tax rates at \underline{a} and \overline{a} are zero. Since this is true for all \underline{a} and \overline{a} , it must be that marginal tax rates are zero everywhere.

Q.E.D.

<u>Corollary</u>: Suppose that h represents a wage rate and that $\underline{h} = 0$. Further, suppose that assumptions 1-4 hold for all $h \in [h, \overline{h}]$ and $0 \ll x(\underline{h}) \ll \infty$.

- A) If desired total revenue collection from the <u>income</u> tax is zero, then the core contains only the no tax (first best) regime.
- B) If desired total revenue collection from the <u>income</u> tax is positive, then the core is empty.

When this project was begun, it was hoped that a more restrictive notion of optimality, such as core, would yield further qualitative conditions that must be satisfied by an optimal tax regime. This does not appear to be the case.

References

- Mirrlees, J.A., 1971, An exploration in the theory of optimum income taxation, Review of Economic Studies 38, 175-208.
- Seade, J.K., 1977, On the shape of optimal tax schedules, Journal of Public Economics 7, 203-235.

.

Rochester Center for Economic Research University of Rochester Department of Economics Rochester, NY 14627

1985-86 DISCUSSION PAPERS

- WP#1 GOVERNMENT SPENDING, INTEREST RATES, PRICES AND BUDGET DEFICITS IN THE UNITED KINGDOM, 1730-1918 by Robert J. Barro, March 1985
- WP#2 TAX EFFECTS AND TRANSACTION COSTS FOR SHORT TERM MARKET DISCOUNT BONDS by Paul M. Romer, March 1985
- WP#3 CAPITAL FLOWS, INVESTMENT, AND EXCHANGE RATES by Alan C. Stockman and Lars E.O. Svensson, March 1985
- WP#4 THE THEORY OF INTERNATIONAL FACTOR FLOWS: THE BASIC MODEL by Ronald W. Jones, Isaias Coelho, and Stephen T. Easton, March 1985
- WP#5 MONOTONICITY PROPERTIES OF BARGAINING SOLUTIONS WHEN APPLIED TO ECONOMICS by Youngsub Chun and William Thomson, April 1985
- WP#6 TWO ASPECTS OF AXIOMATIC THEORY OF BARGAINING by William Thomson, April 1985
- WP#7 THE EMERGENCE OF DYNAMIC COMPLEXITIES IN MODELS OF OPTIMAL GROWTH: THE ROLE OF IMPATIENCE by Michele Boldrin and Luigi Montrucchio, April 1985
- WP#8 RECURSIVE COMPETITIVE EQUILIBRIUM WITH NONCONVEXITIES: AN EQUILIBRIUM MODEL OF HOURS PER WORKER AND EMPLOYMENT by Richard Rogerson, April 1985
- WP#9 AN EQUILIBRIUM MODEL OF INVOLUNTARY UNEMPLOYMENT by Richard Rogerson, April 1985
- WP#10 INDIVISIBLE LABOUR, LOTTERIES AND EQUILIBRIUM by Richard Rogerson, April 1985
- WP#11 HOURS PER WORKER, EMPLOYMENT, UNEMPLOYMENT AND DURATION OF UNEMPLOYMENT: AN EQUILIBRIUM MODEL by Richard Rogerson, April 1985
- WP#12 RECENT DEVELOPMENTS IN THE THEORY OF RULES VERSUS DISCRETION by Robert J. Barro, May 1985

- WP#13 CAKE EATING, CHATTERING, AND JUMPS: EXISTENCE RESULTS FOR VARIATIONAL PROBLEMS by Paul M. Romer, 1985
- WP#14 AVERAGE MARGINAL TAX RATES FROM SOCIAL SECURITY AND THE INDIVIDUAL INCOME TAX by Robert J. Barro and Chaipat Sahasakul, June 1985
- WP#15 MINUTE BY MINUTE: EFFICIENCY, NORMALITY, AND RANDOMNESS IN INTRADAILY ASSET PRICES by Lauren J. Feinstone, June 1985
- WP#16 A POSITIVE ANALYSIS OF MULTIPRODUCT FIRMS IN MARKET EQUILIBRIUM by Glenn M. MacDonald and Alan D. Slivinski, July 1985
- WP#17 REPUTATION IN A MODEL OF MONETARY POLICY WITH INCOMPLETE INFORMATION by Robert J. Barro, July 1985
- WP#18 REGULATORY RISK, INVESTMENT AND WELFARE by Glenn A. Woroch, July 1985
- WP#19 MONOTONICALLY DECREASING NATURAL RESOURCES PRICES UNDER PERFECT FORESIGHT by Paul M. Romer and Hiroo Sasaki, February 1984
- WP#20 CREDIBLE PRICING AND THE POSSIBILITY OF HARMFUL REGULATION by Glenn A. Woroch, September 1985
- WP#21 THE EFFECT OF COHORT SIZE ON EARNINGS: AN EXAMINATION OF SUBSTITUTION RELATIONSHIPS by Nabeel Alsalam, September 1985
- WP#22 INTERNATIONAL BORROWING AND TIME-CONSISTENT FISCAL POLICY by Torsten Persson and Lars. E.O. Svensson, August 1985
- WP#23 THE DYNAMIC BEHAVIOR OF COLLEGE ENROLLMENT RATES: THE EFFECT OF BABY BOOMS AND BUSTS by Nabeel Alsalam, October 1985
- WP#24 ON THE INDETERMINACY OF CAPITAL ACCUMULATION PATHS by Michele Boldrin and Luigi Montrucchio, August 1985
- WP#25 EXCHANGE CONTROLS, CAPITAL CONTROLS, AND INTERNATIONAL FINANCIAL MARKETS by Alan C. Stockman and Alejandro Hernandez D., September 1985
- WP#26 A REFORMULATION OF THE ECONOMIC THEORY OF FERTILITY by Gary S. Becker and Robert J. Barro, October 1985
- WP#27 INREASING RETURNS AND LONG RUN GROWTH by Paul M. Romer, October 1985

- WP#28 INVESTMENT BANKING CONTRACTS IN A SPECULATIVE ATTACK ENVIRONMENT: EVIDENCE FROM THE 1890's by Vittorio Grilli, November 1985
- WP#29 THE SOLIDARITY AXIOM FOR QUASI-LINEAR SOCIAL CHOICE PROBLEMS by Youngsub Chun, November 1985
- WP#30 THE CYCLICAL BEHAVIOR OF MARGINAL COST AND PRICE by Mark Bils, (Revised) November 1985
- WP#31 PRICING IN A CUSTOMER MARKET by Mark Bils, September 1985
- WP#32 CTICKY COODS PRICES, FLEXIBLE ASSET PRICES, MONOPOLISTIC COMPETITION, AND MONETARY POLICY by Lars E.O. Svensson, (Revised) September 1985
- WP#33 OIL PRICE SHOCKS AND THE DISPERSION HYPOTHESIS, 1900 1980 by Prakash Loungani, January 1986
- WP#34 RISK SHARING, INDIVISIBLE LABOR AND AGGREGATE FLUCTUATIONS by Richard Rogerson, (Revised) February 1986
- WP#35 PRICE CONTRACTS, OUTPUT, AND MONETARY DISTURBANCES by Alan C. Stockman, October 1985
- WP#36 FISCAL POLICIES AND INTERNATIONAL FINANCIAL MARKETS by Alan C. Stockman, March 1986
- WP#37 LARGE-SCALE TAX REFORM: THE EXAMPLE OF EMPLOYER-PAID HEALTH INSURANCE PREMIUMS by Charles E. Phelps, March 1986
- WP#38 INVESTMENT, CAPACITY UTILIZATION AND THE REAL BUSINESS CYCLE by Jeremy Greenwood and Zvi Hercowitz, April 1986
- WP#39 THE ECONOMICS OF SCHOOLING: PRODUCTION AND EFFICIENCY IN PUBLIC SCHOOLS by Eric A. Hanushek. April 1986
- WP#40 EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS IN DUAL LABOR MARKETS (IT'S NICE WORK IF YOU CAN GET IT!) by Walter Y. Oi, April 1986.
- WP#41 SECTORAL DISTURBANCES, GOVERNMENT POLICIES, AND INDUSTRIAL OUTPUT IN SEVEN EUROPEAN COUNTRIES by Alan C. Stockman, April 1986.
- WP#42 SMOOOTH VALUATIONS FUNCTIONS AND DETERMINANCY WITH INFINITELY LIVED CONSUMERS by Timothy J. Kehoe, David K. Levine and Paul R. Romer, April 1986.

- WP#43 AN OPERATIONAL THEORY OF MONOPOLY UNION-COMPETITIVE FIRM INTERACTION by Glenn M. MacDonald and Chris Robinson, June 1986
- WP#44 JOB MOBILITY AND THE INFORMATION CONTENT OF EQUILIBRIUM WAGES: PART 1, by Glenn M. MacDonald, June 1986
- WP#45 SKI-LIFT PRICING, WITH AN APPLICATION TO THE LABOR MARKET by Robert J. Barro and Paul M. Romer, May 1986.
- WP#46 FORMULA BUDGETING: THE ECONOMICS AND ANALYTICS OF FISCAL POLICY UNDER RULES: by Eric A. Hanushek, June 1986.
- WP#47 AN OPERATIONAL THEORY OF MONOPOLY UNION-COMPETITIVE FIRM INTERACTION by Glenn M. MacDonald and Chris Robinson, June 1986.
- WP#48 EXCHANGE RATE POLICY, WAGE FORMATION, AND CREDIBILITY by Henrik Horn and Torsten Persson, June 1986.
- WP#49 MONEY AND BUSINESS CYCLES: COMMENTS ON BERNANKE AND RELATED LITERATURE, by Robert G. King, July 1986.
- WP#50 NOMINAL SURPRISES, REAL FACTORS AND PROPAGATION MECHANISMS by Robert G. King and Charles I. Plosser, Final Draft: July 1986.
- WP#51 JOB MOBILITY IN MARKET EQUILIBRIUM by Glenn M. MacDonald, August 1986.
- WP#52 SECRECY, SPECULATION AND POLICY by Robert G. King, (revised) August 1986.
- WP#50 THE TULIPMANIA LEGEND by Peter M. Garber, July 1986.
- WP#54 THE WELFARE THEOREMS AND ECONOMIES WITH LAND AND A FINITE NUMBER OF TRADERS, by Marcus Berliant and Karl Dunz, July 1986.
- WP#55 NONLABOR SUPPLY RESPONSES TO THE INCOME MAINTENANCE EXPERIMENTS by Eric A. Hanushek, August 1986.
- WP#56 INDIVISIBLE LABOR, EXPERIENCE AND INTERTEMPORAL ALLOCATIONS by Vittorio U. Grilli and Richard Rogerson, September 1986.
- WP#57 TIME CONSISTENCY OF FISCAL AND MONETARY POLICY by Mats Persson, Torsten Persson and Lars E. O. Svensson. September 1986
- WP#58 ON THE NATURE OF UNEMPLOYMENT IN ECONOMIES WITH EFFICIENT RISK SHARING, by Richard Rogerson and Randall Wright, September 1986.

MP#59 INFORMATION PRODUCTION, EVALUATION RISK, AND OPTIMAL CONTRACTS by Monica Hargraves and Paul M. Romer, September 1986.

- WP#60 RECURSIVE UTILITY AND THE RAMSEY PROBLEM by John H. Boyd III, October 1986.
- WP#61 WHO LEAVES WHOM IN DURABLE TRADING MATCHES by Kenneth J. McLaughlin, October 1986.
- WP#62 SYMMETRIES, EQUILIBRIA AND THE VALUE FUNCTION by John H. Boyd III, December 1986.
- WP#63 A NOTE ON INCOME TAXATION AND THE CORE by Marcus Berliant, December 1986

To order copies of the above papers complete the attached invoice and return to Christine Massaro, W. Allen Wallis Institute of Political Economy, RCER, 109B Harkness Hall, University of Rochester, Rochester, NY 14627. <u>Three (3) papers per year</u> will be provided free of charge as requested below. Each additional paper will require a \$5.00 service fee which <u>must be enclosed with your order</u>. For your convenience an invoice is provided below in order that you may request payment from your institution as necessary. Please make your check payable to the **Rochester Center for Economic Research**. <u>Checks must be drawn from a U.S. bank and in U.S. dollars</u>.

W. Allen Wallis Institute for Political Economy Rochester Center for Economic Research, Working Paper Series			
Requestor's Name			
Requestor's Address			
-			
-			
-			
Please send me the following p	papers free of charg	ge (Limit: 3 free per year).	
WP#	WP#	WP#	
		al paper. Enclosed is my check of ease send me the following paper	
WP#	WP#	WP#	