Rochester Center for

Economic Research

Recursive Utility and Optimal Capital Accumulation, I: Existence

Becker, Robert A., John H. Boyd III and Bom yong Sung

Working Paper No. 68 January 1987

University of Rochester

RECURSIVE UTILITY AND OPTIMAL CAPITAL ACCUMULATION, I: EXISTENCE*

by

Robert A. Becker[†]

John H. Boyd III *

Bom Yong Sung

Working Paper No.: 68

January 1987

Department of Economics Ballantine Hall Indiana University Bloomington, IN 47405 Department of Economics Harkness Hall University of Rochester Rochester, NY 14627

 $\P_{\mbox{Korea Institute for Economics and Technology}}$ P. O. Box 205 Cheongryangri Seoul, Korea

*Robert Becker acknowledges the research support of the National Science Foundation, Grant SES 85-20180. We thank F. R. Chang, Roy Gardner, Paul Romer, and Nicolas Spulber for their comments on drafts of this paper.

RECURSIVE UTILITY AND OPTIMAL CAPITAL

ACCUMULATION, I: EXISTENCE*

by

Robert A. Becker[†]

John H. Boyd III[‡]

Bom Yong Sung[¶]

January, 1987

Department of Economics Ballantine Hall Indiana University Bloomington, IN 47405

Department of Economics Harkness Hall University of Rochester Rochester, NY 14627

¶Korea Institute for Economics and Technology P.O. Box 205 Cheongryangri Seoul, Korea

^{*}Robert Becker acknowledges the research support of the National Science Foundation, Grant SES 85-20180. We thank F. R. Chang, Roy Gardner, Paul Romer, and Nicolas Spulber for their comments on drafts of this paper.

Abstract

This paper demonstrates existence of optimal capital accumulation paths when the planner's preferences are represented by a recursive objective functional. Time preference is flexible. We cast our problem in terms of a general multiple capital good reduced-form model motivated by the Uzawa-Epstein-Hynes formulation of continuous-time recursive utility. Existence of optimal paths is addressed via the classical Weierstrass method. We thus choose a topology where the objective is upper semicontinuous and the feasible set is compact. This is the topology of uniform convergence of capital stocks on compact subsets. On feasible sets it is equivalent to weak convergence of investment flows under our maintained hypotheses. An improved version of a lemma due to Varaiya proves compactness. Unfortunately, Fatou's Lemma cannot be used to show preferences are upper semicontinuous as stock convergence in our topology does not imply the existence of a subsequence where the flow variables converge almost everywhere. A monotonicity argument is combined with a powerful theorem of Cesari to demonstrate upper semicontinuity.

1. Introduction

This paper demonstrates existence of optimal capital accumulation paths when the planner's preferences are represented by a recursive objective functional. Time preference is flexible. The question of existence of optimal paths is addressed via the classical Weierstrass method. By carefully choosing an appropriate topology, we can insure the objective is upper semicontinuous and the feasible set is compact. Optimal paths exist.

Following Ramsey (1928), optimal growth theory has generally relied on models incorporating a fixed pure rate of time preference (possibly equal to zero). In this context, the preference order of the planner is represented by a time-additive utility functional. This type of objective has been criticized by various authors, dating back to Fisher (1930), on the grounds that the pure rate of time preference should not be independent of the size and shape of the consumption profile. When preferences are time-additive, this independence is a direct consequence of the strong separability property by which the marginal rate of substitution for consumption at any two dates is independent of the rest of the consumption stream (Hicks, 1965, gives an extended critique along these lines). Lucas and Stokey (1984) argued that the only basis for studying the time-additive case is its analytic tractability. Koopmans (1960) laid the foundation for eliminating this deficiency of optimal growth theory by introducing "time-stationary" or "recursive" preferences.

Uzawa (1968) extended Koopmans' discrete-time concept of recursive utility to continuous-time. A rigorous treatment of the existence problem for the Uzawa model was finally given by Nairay (1984). He drew upon a general existence theorem presented by Magill (1981). Both Uzawa and Nairay operated in the single-good setting of Ramsey's original model, but with the additional

limitation of an affine production function.

Following Uzawa's lead, Epstein and Hynes (1983) proposed another formulation of continuous-time recursive utility. They took an indirect approach to the existence question that was based upon solving equations associated with Pontryagin's necessary conditions for optimality. This may be possible only under very strong assumptions.

Our existence theorem proceeds via a direct method. Moreover, we consider a general multiple capital good model motivated by the Uzawa, Epstein and Hynes formulation of continuous—time recursive utility. We cast our problem in terms of a reduced form model. This framework focuses on the vector of capital stocks and the corresponding net investment flows. These stock and flow variables jointly determine the consumption flow at each point in time. This formulation has much in common with the traditional fixed discount rate reduced—form model, which helps to clarify both the similarities and differences between the fixed discount rate and recursive models. The continuity properties of the objective functional are a key difference. Our existence theorems also accommodate technologies exhibiting stock, but not flow nonconvexities, such as models with increasing returns to scale.

The first step in the existence proof is to choose an appropriate topology. Ours is that of uniform convergence of capital stocks on compact subsets. On feasible sets it is equivalent to weak convergence of investment flows under our maintained hypotheses. We prove compactness using an improved version of a theorem originally due to Varaiya (1967). We show that stock convergence in this topology does not imply the existence of a subsequence where the flow variables converge almost everywhere. Therefore, a Fatou's Lemma argument cannot be used to show a limit point of a maximizing sequence is an optimum.

The failure of almost everywhere convergence poses severe technical difficulties for the existence theory. One way around it, used by Romer (1986), is to impose conditions on the flows that insure pointwise convergence. We deal directly with the problem of weak flow convergence. The key to our approach is a powerful theorem of Cesari (1983). Cesari's theorem is a type of Fatou's Lemma for weakly convergent sequences. It is the foundation of our major result, the Upper Semicontinuity Theorem for Objectives.

Cesari's theorem involves joint conditions on preferences and technology. A growth condition on feasible stocks is intertwined with the properties of the objective on growing paths. We show how the classical Tonelli necessary condition for upper semicontinuity of integral functionals implies the joint conditions cannot be separated into independent conditions on preferences and technology. A version of the joint condition had already arisen in one-sector time-additive models involving a linear technology. Its general importance is revealed by the recursive framework. The joint condition also emphasizes Koopmans' (1967,1976) mathematical screening view of optimal growth theory. Depending on the technology, some otherwise attractive welfare criteria may prove nonsensical due to their inability to determine an optimum.

Similar joint conditions appear in the discrete-time existence theory for recursive preferences (Boyd, 1986). Boyd also combined compactness and continuity conditions on the economically relevant subset of the commodity space to obtain existence of an optimum. The same idea is implicitly exploited here by the requirement that felicity conditions hold only on the set of feasible programs. What happens off the feasible set is economically irrelevant.

Of course, our existence theorem also applies to time-additive utility as

a special case. Balder's (1983) existence theorem extends concepts used in the classical case of a bounded time domain to infinite-horizon models. Our technology and felicity conditions play a role similar to his uniform integrability condition for flow variables and strong uniform integrability condition for cost functions. In contrast with Magill (1981), we use a single commodity space and topology for the entire analysis. Further, his methods are not really suitable for extension to recursive utility. Gaines and Peterson (1985) permit the same type of non-convexities as we do. They actually prove a simple form of Cesari's theorem. Their results apply to stationary technologies subject to diminishing returns. In contrast, even in the time-additive case, we include nonstationary and constant returns to scale technologies.

The paper is organized as follows: The model is introduced in Section Two. Section Three investigates the topological structure of the feasible sets.

Section Four proves the existence theorems and shows applications to specific examples. Final comments are in Section Five. The Appendix further clarifies the relation between the topologies used on feasible sets.

2. The Model

There are m capital goods in the general model economy. The technology is a set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^m$. We assume that there is an s with $(t,k,y) \in \Omega$ for some (k,y) for every $t \geq s$. Henceforth, we assume s=0 without loss of generality. Define $D=\{(t,k):(t,k,y)\in\Omega \text{ for some }y\}$ and $G(t,k)=\{y:(t,k,y)\in\Omega\}$. The investment correspondence G thus has domain G. Given capital stock G at time G, any G are G and G are accumulated as additional capital. The investment correspondence is allowed to vary with time. The

programming problem is defined for a given felicity function L and discounting function R, both mapping Ω to R, and an assigned initial capital stock $x \in X$ where $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n_+$ is the set of potential initial capital stocks. Formally, an economy is a quadruple (Ω, L, R, x) with $x \geq 0$ that satisfies the Technology and Felicity Conditions given below.

A capital accumulation program, k, is an absolutely continuous function from \mathbb{R}_+ to \mathbb{R}^n_+ . Define the set of attainable (admissible) programs from initial stock x, $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x})$ by

$$\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}) = \{ \mathbf{k} \in \mathbf{A} : \dot{\mathbf{k}} \in G(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{k}) \text{ a.e., } 0 \le \mathbf{k}(0) \le \mathbf{x} \}.$$

Let $D(t) = \{k : (t,k) \in D \text{ for some } y\}$. We call the technology convex if the section $\{(k,y) : y \in G(t,k)\}$ is convex for each t. In this case, A(x) is convex for each $x \in X$.

The recursive objective functional, I, is given by

$$I(k) = -\int_0^{\infty} L(t,k,k) \exp \left(\int_0^t R(s,k,k) ds \right) dt.$$

The programming problem, P(x), is defined by

$$P(x) : J(x) = \sup \{I(k) : k \in A(x)\}.$$

Here J is the value function. An admissible program k^* is an optimal solution to P(x) if $k^* \in A(x)$ and $I(k^*) = J(x)$.

If L(t,k,y) and R(t,k,y) are convex in (k,y) for each t, the objective functional is concave. If the objective is concave and the technology is convex, the value function is clearly concave and thus continuous on the relative interior of its domain X.

Technology Conditions

(i) G(t,k) is compact and convex for each (t,k) and $k \to G(t,k)$ is upper semicontinuous for each t.

- (ii) For all $x \in X$, there is a $\mu_{\chi} \in L^1_{\ell oc}$ such that $|\dot{k}| \leq \mu_{\chi}$ a.e. whenever $k \in A(x)$.
- (iii) $A(0) \neq \emptyset$.

Note that the non-triviality condition (iii) implies $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}) \neq \emptyset$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{X}$. In many economic applications inaction is always possible by virtue of free disposal, thus $0 \in G(t,k)$. Condition (iii) immediately follows since $k(t) = \mathbf{x}$ is feasible.

Felicity Conditions

- (i) $L:\Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ and $R:\Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ are continuous on Ω and convex in $y \in G(t,k)$ for each fixed $(t,k) \in D$.
- (ii) $L \ge 0$ and there exists a non-positive function $\rho_{\chi} \in L^1_{\ell oc}$ such that $R(t,k(t),\dot{k}(t)) \ge \rho_{\chi}(t)$ for all $k \in A(x)$.
- (iii) There is a program $k \in A(x)$ such that $I(k) > -\infty$.

We will refer to L as the felicity function and R as the discounting function. The second and third Felicity Conditions both involve a type of joint condition on preferences and technology. Koopmans (1967) observed that technological possibilities may restrict the type of utility functions that can be sensibly used. These joint conditions should be taken in this spirit. Condition (F.ii) will be satisfied regardless of the technology whenever R is bounded below by a constant. Any program that satisfies the third Felicity Condition is called a good program. Many capital accumulation models have good programs. When R is bounded below zero and L is bounded, condition (F.iii) is satisfied regardless of the technology. At the opposite extreme,

when the technology has a maximum sustainable stock and R is bounded below, this condition is also trivially satisfied regardless of L. In the usual additively separable model, R is a negative constant. If, in addition, a maximum sustainable stock exists, then (F.ii) and (F.iii) are automatically satisfied. When we consider additively separable utility functions in more detail, the second Felicity Condition will be modified to include a joint condition on preferences and technology. Applications given in Section Four will further illustrate the role of the Technology and Felicity Conditions.

3. The Topology of Feasible Sets

Two equivalent modes of convergence are particularly important for our feasible set. The first (the compact-open topology) will be used to establish compactness of the feasible set. The second (the weak topology) is more appropriate for demonstrating upper semicontinuity of the objective. Both are defined on the space $\bf A$ of absolutely continuous functions from $\bf R_+$ to $\bf R^n$. The $\bf C$ topology is the topology of uniform convergence on compact subsets (the compact-open topology) and is defined by the family of norms $\|f\|_{\infty,T} = \sup\{|f(t)|: 0 \le t \le T\}$ for $T=1, 2, \ldots$ where $|\cdot|$ is any of the equivalent Euclidean norms on $\bf R^n$. Under these norms, $\bf C$ is a complete, countably-normed space and thus a Fréchet space.

The second topology is obtained by focusing on the flows rather than stocks. When f is absolutely continuous, its derivative is locally integrable. The space of locally integrable functions, denoted $L^1_{\ell oc}$, is a Fréchet space under the topology given by the norms $\|f\|_{1,T} = \int_0^T |f(s)| ds$. The space A can be topologized by the norms $\|f\|_{A,T} = \|f\|_{\infty,T} + \|f\|_{1,T}$. These norms turn A into a Fréchet space with a topology that is equivalent to that

given by the natural metric on the direct sum $\mathbb{R}\oplus L^1_{\ell oc}$, thus $\mathbf{A}=\mathbb{R}\oplus L^1_{\ell oc}$. As a Frèchet space, it has a dual and associated weak topology.

Since the dual of $L^1_{\ell oc}$ is given by $(L^1_{\ell oc})' = \{f \in L^\infty : f \text{ has compact} \}$ support, φ is in the dual of A if and only if $\langle \varphi, f \rangle = \alpha f(0) + \int_0^T \beta(s)f(s) \, ds$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, T > 0 and $\beta \in L^\infty$. This duality defines the weak topology on A. Curiously, any sequence that converges in the weak topology on A converges in the C topology. (Use the Dunford-Pettis Theorem and mimic the proof of Lemma 2 below.) However, weakly convergent nets need not converge in the C topology. We will be working with a subspace $F(\mu)$, defined by a growth condition, where the two topologies actually are equivalent. For each $\mu \in L^1_{\ell oc}$ with $\mu \geq 0$, define the subspace $F(\mu)$ by $F(\mu) = \{k \in \mathring{A} : |k| \leq \mu\}$. As the following lemmas show, the two topologies are equivalent on $F(\mu)$. Moreover, given this equivalence, we can easily establish that $F(\mu)$ is compact in this topology.

LEMMA 1. Suppose $k_n \in F(\mu)$ and $k_n \to k$ in C, then $k \in F(\mu)$ and $k_n \to k$ weakly in A.

PROOF. Let T > 0 be given. For E > 0, choose δ such that $\int_E \mu < \epsilon$ whenever E C [0,T] with $|E| < \delta$. Suppose $0 \le t_1 \le s_1 \le \ldots \le t_m \le s_m \le T$ with $\sum_{i=1}^m |t_i - s_i| < \delta$. Then

$$\begin{split} \Sigma_{i=1}^{m} & | k(t_{i}) - k(s_{i}) | & \leq & \Sigma_{i=1}^{m} \left\{ | k(t_{i}) - k_{n}(t_{i}) | + \left| \int_{s_{i}}^{t_{i}} \dot{k}_{n} \right| + | k_{n}(s_{i}) - k(s_{i}) | \right\} \\ & \leq & 2m \| k - k_{n} \|_{\infty, T} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \int_{s_{i}}^{t_{i}} \mu \\ & \leq & 2m \| k - k_{n} \|_{\infty, T} + \epsilon \\ & \leq & 2\epsilon \end{split}$$

for large n. Thus $k \in A$.

Since $k_n(0) \to k(0)$ and $\|\mathring{k}_n\|_{1,T} \le \|\mu\|_{1,T}$, k_n will converge weakly in A to k provided, for each T, $\int_E \mathring{k}_n \to \int_E \mathring{k}$ for all measurable $E \subset [0,T]$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and choose δ such that $\int_F \mu < \epsilon$ and $|\int_F \mathring{k} | < \epsilon$ whenever $F \subset [0,T]$ with $|F| < \delta$. When |E| > 0, take a finite disjoint union of intervals, $G = \bigcup_{i=1}^m (t_i,s_i)$ with $|E \setminus G| < \delta$. Set $F = E \setminus G$. Then

$$\begin{split} |\int_{E} \, \dot{k}_{n} \, - \, \int_{E} \, \dot{k} \, | & \leq \, |\int_{G} \, (\dot{k}_{n} - \dot{k}) \, | \, + \, \int_{F} \, |\dot{k}_{n}| \, + \, |\int_{F} \, \dot{k} \, | \\ \\ & \leq \, \sum_{i=1}^{m} \, \left\{ \left| k_{n}(t_{i}) - k(t_{i}) \right| \, + \, \left| k_{n}(s_{i}) - k(s_{i}) \right| \right\} \, + \, 2\epsilon \\ \\ & \leq \, 2m \, \left\| k_{n} \, - \, k \right\|_{\infty, T} \, + \, 2\epsilon \end{split}$$

As $n \to \infty$, we see that $k_n \to k$ weakly. Clearly $|\mathring{k}| \le \mu$ a.e., so $k \in F(\mu)$. QED

LEMMA 2. If a net, $\{k_{\alpha}^{}\}_{\alpha\in A}$, converges weakly in **A** to k and $k_{\alpha}\in F(\mu)$, then $k_{\alpha}\to k$ in **C**.

PROOF. Let $\epsilon, T > 0$. Since $|\mathring{k}_{\alpha}| \le \mu$ and $\mathring{k} \in L^1_{\ell oc}$, we can choose δ such that $|\int_{\mathbf{S}}^t \mathring{k}_{\alpha}| < \epsilon$ and $|\int_{\mathbf{S}}^t \mathring{k} | < \epsilon$ whenever $0 \le s, t \le T$ and $|s-t| < \delta$. Let $t_n = \delta n$ and $M = \max\{n : t_n \le T\}$. Choose $\beta \in A$ with $|k_{\alpha}(0) - k(0) + \int_0^t (\mathring{k}_{\alpha} - \mathring{k})|$ $= |k_{\alpha}(t_n) - k(t_n)| < \epsilon \text{ for } \alpha > \beta. \text{ When } 0 \le t \le T, \text{ take } t_n \text{ with } |t-t_n| < \delta.$

$$\begin{aligned} |k_{\alpha}(t)-k(t)| &\leq |k_{\alpha}(t_n)-k(t_n)| + |\int_{t_n}^{t} (\mathring{k}_{\alpha}-\mathring{k})| &< 3\varepsilon \end{aligned}$$
 for $\alpha>\beta$. Thus $k_{\alpha}\to k$ uniformly on [0,T] for all T. QED

Then

Combining the preceeding lemmas with the fact that the ${\bf C}$ topology is metrizable yields the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 1. On $F(\mu)$, the C and weak A topologies are equivalent. Further, $F(\mu)$ is closed and this topology is metrizable.

LEMMA 3. $F(\mu)$ is compact in the C topology.

PROOF. Since $F(\mu)$ is closed, it suffices to show $F(\mu)$ is equicontinuous on [0,T] for each T. Ascoli's Theorem will then establish compactness. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and choose δ such that $\int_E \mu < \epsilon$ whenever $E \subset [0,T]$ and $|E| < \delta$. Then, for $|s-t| < \delta$, $|k(s)-k(t)| = |\int_s^t \dot{k} \mid \leq |\int_s^t \mu \mid < \epsilon$. Therefore $F(\mu)$ is equicontinuous on [0,T] for each T.

Various authors have assumed that weak convergence in A implies the derivatives either converge pointwise (this is implicit in Lemma 3.2 of Brock and Haurie, 1976) or have a subsequence that converges pointwise (Yano, 1982, Lemma 3). As the following example shows, neither of these need happen. 14

Consider the Rademacher functions. Define

$$R(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & 0 \le t - [t] \le 1/2 \\ -1 & 1/2 \le t - [t] \le 1 \end{cases}$$

where $[\![t]\!]$ is the greatest integer function. The nth Rademacher function, $r_n(t)$ is defined on [0,1] by $r_n(t) = R(2^n t)$. The Rademacher functions form an orthonormal set in $L^2(0,1) \subset L^1(0,1)$ and are elements of $L^1(0,1)$. By Bessel's Inequality (Halmos, 1951, pg. 18), any $f \in L^\infty$ has $\sum_{n=1}^\infty |\int_0^1 f(s) r_n(s) \, ds|^2 \le \|f\|_2 \le \|f\|_\infty$, thus $\int_0^1 f(s) r_n(s) \, ds \to 0$. The Rademacher functions converge weakly to 0, but no subsequence can converge pointwise. If it did, the Lebesgue Bounded Convergence Theorem would force the L^2 distance between the

Rademacher functions in the subsequence to converge to zero. This cannot happen since the L^2 distance between two Rademacher functions is always $\sqrt{2}$.

The strongest statement that can be made about pointwise limits is expressed in the following lemma.

LEMMA 4. Suppose k and k_n are real-valued and $k_n \to k$ weakly in $L^1_{\ell oc}$. Then $\limsup_{n \to \infty} k_n(t) \ge k(t) \ge \liminf_{n \to \infty} k_n(t)$.

PROOF. Suppose the first half of the inequality is violated. Then there are T, $\delta > 0$ such that $E = \{t : x(t) > \limsup_{n \to \infty} x_n(t) + \delta\}$ has positive

measure. Let $g(t) = \limsup_{n \to \infty} x_n(t)$ and $g_n(t) = \sup_{m \ge n} x_m(t)$. Note $g_n \to g$

pointwise. By Egoroff's Theorem there is a measurable set F with |F| < |E|/2 such that $g_n \to g$ uniformly on $G = E \setminus F$. Now take n large enough that $\delta/2 + g(t) > g_n(t)$ for $t \in G$. Then $x(t) + \delta/2 > g_n(t)$ on G. But then $x(t) + \delta/2 > x_m(t)$ on G for all $m \ge n$. Integrating over G and letting $m \to \infty$ now yields the contradiction.

Consideration of -k now shows that the second half of the inequality is also satisfied. QED

When the functions take values in \mathbb{R}^n , and $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$, this lemma applies to the inner product $\langle p,k(t)\rangle$.

4. Existence of Optimal Paths

The main existence result for the problem P(x) is stated below.

EXISTENCE THEOREM. For each economy (Ω, L, R, x) , there is an optimal solution to P(x).

The proof follows once we establish compactness of the feasible set and upper semicontinuity of the objective in the weak topology. Compactness of the feasible set is the subject of Lemma 6, while the Lower Semicontinuity Theorem will insure upper semicontinuity of the objective. We conclude the section by examining the theorem's application to one-sector and multi-sector models.

4.1 Existence Theory

Lemmas 1 and 6 together consitute a variation on a theorem due to Varaiya (1967, Theorem 2.1) that is more suited to our setting. We relax Varaiya's conditions somewhat be establishing compactness of a larger set, $\mathbf{F}(\mu)$, via a bound on $\dot{\mathbf{k}}$. This bound, which is naturally related to the technology, plays a crucial role in showing the Felicity Conditions hold in applications. Varaiya works under more restrictive conditions that imply such a bound. Another point of note is the role of a compact-valued investment correspondence.

Our approach clarifies the role of compactness of the technology set. Varaiya showed that \dot{k} is almost everywhere an element of every closed half-space containing the technology set. It might seem that we just take the intersection of the closed half-spaces containing the (convex) technology set to show that \dot{k} is in the technology set. This fails as \dot{k} need only be in each

half-space almost everywhere. When we intersect over arbitrarily many sets, k may be in the intersection almost nowhere! When the technology set is compact for each (k,t), the following lemma can be used to solve the problem.

LEMMA 5. Suppose G is a compact, convex, non-empty subset of \mathbb{R}^n and \mathscr{P} is dense in \mathbb{R}^n . Then G is the intersection of the half-spaces of the form $\{y: \langle p,y \rangle \leq \alpha\}$ containing it, where $p \in \mathscr{P}$ and α is a real number.

PROOF. Clearly G is contained in the intersection. It is enough to show that any point not in G is not in a half-space containing G. Let $x \notin G$. Then there is a p', not necessarily in \mathscr{P} , with $\langle p', x \rangle > \max \{\langle p', y \rangle : y \in G \}$. Let $f(q) = \langle q, x \rangle - \max \{\langle q, y \rangle : y \in G \}$. Since G is compact, f is continuous. As \mathscr{P} is dense in \mathbb{R}^n , there is a $p \in \mathscr{P}$ with f(p) > 0. Taking any α with $\langle p, x \rangle > \alpha > \max \{\langle p, y \rangle : y \in G \}$ yields the desired half-space. QED

LEMMA 6. If the technology conditions are satisfied, then A(x) is a compact subset of A.

PROOF. By Proposition 1, $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}) \subseteq \mathbf{F}(\mu)$ with $\mathbf{F}(\mu)$ compact. It suffices to show that $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x})$ is closed. Let $\mathbf{k}_n \to \mathbf{k}$ in \mathbf{C} with $\mathbf{k}_n \in \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x})$. Then $\mathbf{k}_n(0) \to \mathbf{k}(0)$, so $0 \le \mathbf{k}(0) \le \mathbf{x}$. Similarly, $\mathbf{k}(t) \in \mathbf{D}(t)$ for all t. By Proposition 1, $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbf{A}$ and $\dot{\mathbf{k}}_n \to \dot{\mathbf{k}}$ weakly in $\mathbf{L}^1_{\ell oc}$. Let \mathscr{P} be a countable dense subset of \mathbb{R}^n . Since \mathscr{P} is countable, we can use Lemma 4 to obtain a set \mathbf{F} with zero measure such that for each $\mathbf{p} \in \mathscr{P}$:

Let α > sup $\{\langle p,y \rangle : y \in G(t,k(t))\}$. As G is upper semicontinuous in k and $k_n(t) \to k(t)$, $G(t,k_n(t)) \subset \{z : \alpha > \langle p,z \rangle\}$ for n large. Hence $\langle p,k_n(t) \rangle \langle \alpha \rangle$ for n large and so

$$\max \ \{\langle p,y\rangle \ : \ y \in G(t,k(t))\} \ \geq \ \limsup \ \langle p,\mathring{k}_{n}(t)\rangle$$

$$\geq \langle p, k(t) \rangle$$
 for $t \notin F$

Now G(t,k(t)) is compact and convex. By Lemma 5, it is the intersection of the half-spaces containing it of the form $\{y: \langle p,y \rangle \leq \alpha\}$ where p is in the countable dense set $\mathscr P$. Thus $k(t) \in G(t,k(t))$ for $t \notin F$. It immediately follows that $k \in A(x)$.

If G(k,t) is only closed, a countable set $\mathscr P$ with the desired properties may not exist. In Lemma 6 we must choose the countable set $\mathscr P$ before looking at the limsup. The same $\mathscr P$ must be used for almost every time t to get inclusion in G(t,k(t)) almost everywhere. A simple illustration of what can go wrong is when $G(k,t)=\{(y_1,y_2):y_1+ty_2\leq 1\}$. Clearly $\mathscr P$ must contain a multiple of (1,t) for almost every t to write G(t,k) as the intersection of half-spaces derived from $\mathscr P$. Thus $\mathscr P$ cannot be countable.

We also need the following theorem, which holds for any $T < \infty$.

LOWER SEMICONTINUITY THEOREM. Suppose $F_0\colon\mathbb{R}_+\times\mathbb{R}^{2n}\to\mathbb{R}_+\cup\{+\infty\}$ is measurable in $(t,\chi,\xi)\in\mathbb{R}_+\times\mathbb{R}^{2n}$, lower semicontinuous in (χ,ξ) for each t, and convex in ξ for each (t,χ) . Further, suppose $F_0\geq -\psi$ on Ω where $\psi\geq 0$, $\psi\in L^1(0,T)$. Now let $k_n\to k$ weakly in A and $k_n\in G(t,k_n)$ a.e. If G obeys the technology conditions, then for each T

$$\int_0^T F_0(t,k,k) dt \leq \underset{n \to \infty}{\lim\inf} \int_0^T F_0(t,k_n,k_n) dt.$$

PROOF. This is a special case of Theorem 10.8.i in Cesari (1983). QED

UPPER SEMICONTINUITY THEOREM FOR OBJECTIVE FUNCTIONALS. Suppose the Felicity and Technology Conditions are satisfied and $k_n \to k$ weakly in $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x})$.

Then $\limsup_{n \to \infty} I(k_n) \le I(k)$.

PROOF. Let ϵ , T>0. Choose δ such that $\left|\int_{E}\rho_{x}\right|<\epsilon$ whenever $\left|E\right|\leq\delta$. Let $g_{N}(t)=\inf_{m>N}\int_{0}^{t}R(s,k_{m},\mathring{k}_{m})$ ds and $g(t)=\lim g_{N}(t)$. Apply the Lower

Semicontinuity Theorem to $F_0(t,\chi,\xi)$ given by R for $\xi\in G(t,\chi)$ and $+\infty$ otherwise with $\psi=-\rho_\chi$. Thus $g(t)\geq \int_0^t R(s,k,k)$ ds almost everywhere. By Egoroff's Theorem, there is a set E with $|E|\leq \delta$ and $g_N\to g$ uniformly on $F=[0,T]\backslash E$.

For $n \ge N$ with N large this yields,

$$\int_{0}^{t} R(s,k_{n},\dot{k}_{n}) ds \geq -\epsilon + \int_{0}^{t} R(s,k,\dot{k}) ds \quad \text{for } t \in F$$

$$\geq -2\epsilon + \int_{0}^{t} R(s,k,\dot{k}) ds \quad \text{for } t \in [0,T].$$
(1)

Now since $L \ge 0$,

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} L(t, k_{n}, \dot{k}_{n}) \exp \left(\int_{0}^{t} R(s, k_{n}, \dot{k}_{n}) ds\right) dt$$

$$\geq \int_{0}^{T} L(t, k_{n}, \dot{k}_{n}) \exp \left(\int_{0}^{t} R(s, k_{n}, \dot{k}_{n}) ds\right) dt \qquad (2)$$

$$\geq e^{-2\epsilon} \int_{0}^{T} L(t, k_{n}, \dot{k}_{n}) \exp \left(\int_{0}^{t} R(s, k, \dot{k}) ds\right) dt$$

for n large enough.

An application of the Lower Semicontinuity Theorem with $F_0(t,\chi,\xi)=$ $L(t,\chi,\xi)$ exp $(\int_0^t R(s,k(s),\dot{k}(s)) \,ds)$ for $\xi\in G(t,\chi)$ and $+\infty$ otherwise and $\psi=0$. gives

$$\begin{split} \int_0^\infty L(t,k_n,\dot{k}_n) & \exp \left(\int_0^t R(s,k_n,\dot{k}_n) \ ds \right) \ dt \\ & \geq \int_0^T L(t,k_n,\dot{k}_n) \ \exp \left(\int_0^t R(s,k_n,\dot{k}_n) \ ds \right) \ dt \\ & \geq -\epsilon + e^{-2\epsilon} \int_0^T L(t,k,\dot{k}) \ \exp \left(\int_0^t R(s,k,\dot{k}) \ ds \right) \ dt \end{split}$$

Thus liminf $-I(k_n) \ge -\epsilon + e^{-2\epsilon} \int_0^T L(t,k,k) \exp\left(\int_0^t R(s,k,k) \, ds\right) \, dt$ for all ϵ , T>0. Letting $\epsilon \to 0$ and $T\to \infty$ yields the desired inequality. QED

In the additively separable case the situation is much simpler. In that case $R(t,k,y)=\rho_X(t)$ is independent of k and y. The lower semicontinuity theorem can be applied directly to $L(t,\chi,\xi)$ exp $\rho_X(t)$. This is essentially the method used by Gaines and Peterson (1985). In contrast, the more general recursive case requires two applications of the lower semicontinuity theorem. In the general case, allowing L to take negative values causes severe problems due to the double use of the lower semicontinuity theorem. The one-sided estimate of equation (2) must be replaced by a two-sided estimate. This would follow if equation (1) gave a two-sided estimate. However, this would require the integral of R to be both upper and lower semicontinuous. This condition is not generally satisfied. By a classical theorem of Tonelli it can only be satisfied when R is affine in y. 16 Note that additively separable utility is trivially affine since R is independent of y.

An attempt to sidestep the problem by putting the recursive model in an additively separable form also fails due to Tonelli's theorem. The recursive model (Ω, L, R, x) may be represented in a time additive framework by adding state variables. Given an economy, define an additional state variable z by putting

$$\dot{z} = R(t, k, \dot{k}), \quad z(0) = 0.$$

Set M(t,k,k,z,z) = -L(t,k,k) exp z. The indirect representation of the economy is the triple (Ω,M,x) . Attainable programs for the indirect representation of the economy are elements of

$$B(x) = \{(k,z) : k \in A(x), z(t) = \int_{0}^{t} R(s,k,k) ds \}.$$

The indirect objective functional, N, is defined by

$$N(k) = \int_0^\infty M(t,k,k,z,z) dt.$$

The indirect programming problem is to choose an attainable program which achieves sup N(k). In general, the existence theorems of Balder (1983) and Gaines and Peterson (1985) do not apply to the indirect problem. The set B(x) need not be compact even though A(x) is compact. Compactness of B(x) would follow if the integral of R is continuous in k. However, we have already noted that Tonelli's theorem prevents this unless R is affine in y! If we only know R is convex in y, k_n converges to k weakly in A(x) implies $z_n(t) \ge -2\varepsilon + z(t)$ for n large, which is equation (1) again. This is not enough to yield the compactness of B(x) needed for the general recursive case.

When R is affine in y, a variant of the Upper Semicontinuity Theorem does in fact hold (Corollary 1). For this, we use the Modified Felicity Condition that (F.i), (F.ii') and (F.iii) are satisfied where (F.ii') is given below.

(F.ii') There are ρ_{1x} and $\rho_{2x} \in L^1_{\ell oc}$ and a non-negative measurable function λ_x obeying $\int_0^\infty \lambda_x(t) \exp\left(\int_0^t \rho_{2x}(s) \, ds\right) \, dt < \infty$ such that $\rho_{1x}(s) \leq R(s,k(s),\dot{k}(s)) \leq \rho_{2x}(s)$ and $-\lambda_x(t) \leq L(t,k(\dot{t}),k(t))$ for all $k \in A(x)$.

COROLLARY 1. Suppose the Technology and modified Felicity Conditions are satisfied. Then $\limsup_{n\to\infty} I(k_n) \leq I(k)$.

PROOF. Fix T. Since $\rho_{1x}(s) \leq R(s,k(s),\dot{k}(s)) \leq \rho_{2x}(s)$, equation (1) applies to both R and -R. Thus $\int_0^t R(s,k_n(s),\dot{k}_n(s))$ ds converges to $\int_0^t R(s,k(s),\dot{k}(s))$ ds almost everywhere on [0,T]. Letting $\eta_n(t) = \exp\left(\int_0^t R(s,k_n(s),\dot{k}_n(s))\right)$ ds) we have $\eta_n(t) \to \eta(t)$ a.e.

Apply the Lower Semicontinuity Theorem to $F_0(t,\chi,\eta,\xi,\theta)$ defined by

 $\eta L(t,\chi,\xi) + \lambda_{\chi}(t) \exp(\int_0^t \rho_{2\chi}(s) ds)$ for $\xi \in G(t,\chi)$ and $+\infty$ otherwise. Since T is arbitrary and $F_0 \ge 0$, we let $T \to \infty$ to obtain

$$\begin{split} \int_0^\infty \lambda_{\mathbf{x}}(t) & \exp \left(\int_0^t \rho_{2\mathbf{x}}(s) \; \mathrm{d}s \right) + \mathrm{liminf} \; -\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{n}}) \\ & \geq \int_0^\infty \lambda_{\mathbf{x}}(t) \; \exp \left(\int_0^t \rho_{2\mathbf{x}}(s) \; \mathrm{d}s \right) \; - \; \mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}). \end{split}$$

As the additional integrals are finite, $\limsup I(k_n) \le I(k)$. QED

PROOF OF EXISTENCE THEOREM. Let $k_n \in \mathbf{A}(x)$ be a maximizing sequence for I. Since $\mathbf{A}(x)$ is compact, we can find a $\mathbf{k}^* \in \mathbf{A}(x)$ and a subsequence, also denoted k_n with $k_n \to \mathbf{k}^*$. By the Upper Semicontinuity Theorem for Objectives, limsup $\mathbf{I}(k_n) \leq \mathbf{I}(\mathbf{k}^*)$. Thus $\mathbf{J}(x) = \text{limsup } \mathbf{I}(k_n) \leq \mathbf{I}(\mathbf{k}^*)$. As $\mathbf{k}^* \in \mathbf{A}(x)$, $\mathbf{I}(\mathbf{k}^*) \leq \mathbf{J}(x)$. Therefore $\mathbf{I}(\mathbf{k}^*) = \mathbf{J}(x)$ and \mathbf{k}^* is the desired optimal path. QED

COROLLARY 2. Suppose (Ω, L, R, x) satisfies the Technology and modified Felicity Conditions. Then P(x) has a solution.

The proof of the corollary is the same as the theorem, except for two points. First, Corollary 1 must be used instead of the Upper Semicontinuity Theorem for Objectives. Second, the bounds on L and R in (F.ii') insure the supremum is finite. This approach also applies to undiscounted additively separable models, even through the supremum may not be finite. The way around this problem is to use the overtaking criterion investigated by Brock and Haurie (1976) and Yano (1982). In this case the value loss is used as the felicity function. Such an objective functional has maximum zero, which is attained by the golden rule. Good programs are then those with finite value

loss. As the correct form of the overtaking criterion for recursive utility remains unknown, the remainder of this paper will focus on discounted models.

4.2 One-Sector Models

One type of model that satisfies the technology conditions is the one-sector growth model. Denote consumption by c(t), capital by k(t) and net investment by $\dot{k}(t)$. Assume the gross production function $f:\mathbb{R}^2_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is continuous in (k,t) and increasing in k. Further, there is a continuous function $\tau(t)$ with $0 \le f(k,t) \le \tau(t)(1+k)$ for $k \ge 0$. This growth condition is automatically satisfied if f is concave in k. Capital depreciates at rate $\beta \in [0,1]$, thus the net production function is $g(k,t) = f(k,t) - \beta k$. Consumption c is given by $c(t) = g(k,t) - \dot{k}(t) = f(k,t) - \beta k(t) - \dot{k}(t)$. Define $G(t,k) = [-\beta k, g(k,t)]$ and $\Omega = \{(t,k,y) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+^m \times \mathbb{R}_+^m : y \in G(t,k)\}$. This defines the standard technology.

The first and third technology conditions are clearly satisfied. The second technology condition is also satisfied. To see this, we study the path of pure accumulation. As a preliminary step, define undepreciated capital by $K(t) = e^{\beta t} k(t)$. Undepreciated capital obeys $0 \le \hat{K} \le F(K,t)$ where $F(K,t) = e^{\beta t} f(e^{-\beta t}K,t)$ is undepreciated gross output. Since F obeys the growth condition whenever f does, and since $K \to e^{-\beta t} K$ is continuous in C, it is enough to consider the no-depreciation case $(\beta = 0)$.

Let $\tau_T = \sup \{\tau(t) : 0 \le t \le T+1\}$ and $M = \sup \{|f(k,t)| : 0 \le t \le T+1\}$, $|k-k_0| \le b\} \le \tau_T(1+|k_0|+b)$, where b is an arbitrary positive number. Then $b/M \ge 1/2\tau_T$ for b sufficiently large. The Peano Existence Theorem (Hartman, pg. 10) now shows that any solution to k = f(k,t) with k(0) = x on [0,T) can be extended to $[0,T+1/2\tau_T)$. Thus a solution k(t|x) exists on $[0,\infty)$. Thus a f is increasing, this solution is unique (Hartman, pg. 34). It

follows that $v(t) \le k(t|x)$ whenever $v \le f(v,t)$ with $v(0) \le x$ (Hartman, pg. 26). Thus $0 \le v \le \tau(t)(1+v(t)) \le \tau(t)(1+k(t|x)) = \mu_X(t)$ whenever $v \in A(x)$. Since μ_X is continuous, it is locally integrable, and the third condition solutions to the equation $v \in A(x)$ (see Hartman, Chapter 3) can be used to establish the same result.

The advantage of the above approach is it yields the tightest possible bound on μ_X . Our strategy is to use μ_X to obtain (F.ii'). For this it is important that μ_X be as small as possible. The examples following Corollary 3 will further illustrate this point. A cruder bound can easily be obtained. Solving the differential equation $\dot{k} = \tau(t)(1+k)$ shows that feasible paths must obey $k(t) \leq (1+x) \exp \left(\int_0^t \tau(s) \ ds\right) - 1$.

One model that gives rise to a standard technology is the affine technology f(k) = rk + w, where $r > \beta$ and $w \ge 0$ are given parameters. A variant of this model has been investigated by Uzawa (1968) and Nairay (1984). It can be regarded as a deterministic version of the income fluctuation problem studied by Schechtman (1976) and Schechtman and Escudero (1977). Following the above technique yields the upper bounds $[x + (w/r)] e^{rt} - (w/r)$ for the capital stock and $(rx + w) e^{rt}$ for investment. Note that this example does not satisfy the Inada Conditions at 0 or "infinity". These conditions are $f'(0+) = \infty$ and $f'(k) - \beta < 0$ for k sufficiently large. Although the Inada conditions are important for demonstrating the existence of a steady state, they are not relevant for the existence of optimal paths. In addition, the affine model's technology is superproductive: $f'(k) - \beta > 0$ for all k > 0. We could also apply this to the more general case where r and r are allowed to vary with time. This would represent the budget constraint faced by a consumer when given the time path of interest rates and wages, as

in the discrete-time Ramsey equilibrium (Becker and Foias, 1986; Becker, Boyd and Foias, 1986).

Another example failing the Inada condition at 0 is given by f(k) = 2k/(1+k): clearly $f'(0) = 2 > 1 \ge \beta$. This production function is a member of the C.E.S. class with elasticity of substitution equal to 1/2 and labor input 1. The Cobb-Douglas model has $f(k) = Ak^{\alpha}$ with parameters A > 0 and $0 < \alpha < 1$. When $\beta = 0$, this model is superproductive. When $\beta > 0$, the Inada condition at "infinity" implies the existence of a positive maximum sustainable stock b defined by $f(b) = \beta b$.

Non-convex technologies are also permitted. One such example would be $f(k) = \pi/4 + \arctan(k-1)$. Such convex-concave technologies were first studied by Clark (1971) and Skiba (1978). This will also cover the stock non-convexities in investment models (Davidson and Harris, 1981), but not flow non-convexities.

Epstein (1985a, b) has introduced a generalization of Uzawa's (1968) recursive utility function. A felicity function, u, and a discounting function, v, are defined in terms of consumption c. We assume the felicity function is negative, continuous, concave and increasing with $u(0) > -\infty$ and the discounting function is continuous concave and increasing with $v(c) \ge v(0) = \rho > 0$ for all $c \ge 0$. Now take $L(t,k,y) = -u(f(k,t) - y - \beta k)$ and $R(t,k,y) = -v(f(k,t) - y - \beta k)$. These objectives are a continuous-time version of Koopmans' (1960) recursive preferences. Note that $I(0) = u(0)/(1-\rho) > -\infty$, so good programs exist.

Examples of admissible discounting functions include: $v(c) = 1 + \arctan c^{\alpha}$ for $0 < \alpha \le 1$; $v(c) = 1 + \arccos (1+c)$, and $v(c) = 2 - e^{-c}$. The first two are bounded from above by $1 + \pi/2$, the last by 2. In all of these cases $\rho = 1$. Other examples of discounting functions may be unbounded above, for example,

 $v(c) = 1 + \log (1+c)$ and $v(c) = 1 + c^{\alpha}$, $0 < \alpha \le 1$. Under a standard technology, the affine form v(c) = a + bc with a > 0, $b \ge 0$ is permitted by the modified Felicity conditions. Of course, b = 0 yields the additively separable utility function. The affine case can be generalized by removing the upper bound on u, although care is then needed to insure that the modified Felicity conditions hold. At the other extreme, when u is a constant, we obtain the (1983) Epstein-Hynes utility function. We will restrict our attention to felicity and discounting functions that satisfy either the modified or unmodified Felicity Conditions. However, Nairay (1984) introduces a transformation that can recast certain other types of felicity functions, in particular, those considered by Uzawa (1968), into our framework.

Production functions and objectives meeting the above conditions define the standard recursive one sector model (f, β , u, v, x).

COROLLARY 3. Every standard recursive one sector model (f, β , u, ν , χ) has an optimal solution.

This corollary generalizes previous existence theory for both the one sector Epstein model and the additively separable model to include both time varying and certain types of non-convex technologies.

With Epstein-Hynes utility (u = -1), any standard technology yields a standard model. To see this, note c = f(k) - β k - k \leq f(k) \leq τ (t)(1 + k(t|x)) when the technology is standard. With v increasing, this means that v(c) \leq v(τ (t)[1 + k(t|x)]) = ρ_x (t). As ρ_x (t) is continuous, $\rho_x \in L^1_{\ell oc}$, and the Felicity Conditions are satisfied.

This corollary also applies to Uzawa models that do not satisfy the conditions of Nairay's existence theorem. He assumed u log-concave in order

to quote Magill's (1981) existence theorem. Consider $u(c) = -\exp \{-[c + (1/\sqrt{2})]^2\}$. This is a concave, bounded, increasing felicity function that satisfies our hypotheses, yet it is not log-concave. This example also shows our conditions are weaker than Magill's.

With additively separable utility, things become more complicated. Consider the case where $f(k,t) \leq A(1+k)$, so $k \leq (1+x)e^{At}$ as above. Suppose $u \geq 0$, and define the upper asymptotic exponent by $\eta = limsup$ $\lfloor \log u(c)/\log c \rfloor$ as $c \to \infty$. Thus $\lfloor |u(c)| \exp(-\rho t) \rfloor$ is bounded at infinity by $\exp(\eta \log c - \rho)t$ and thus by $\exp(\eta A - \rho)t$. The modified Felicity Conditions will be met whenever $\eta A < \rho$. It is clear that the smaller the bound on k (smaller A), the easier it is to satisfy $\eta A < \rho$, thus the importance of the smallest possible choice of $\mu_{\mathbf{x}}$. When $u(c) = \log c$, $\eta = 0$ and any positive discount factor ρ will yield the existence of optimal paths, provided there is a good program. Similar considerations apply when $u(c) = -c^{\eta}$ with $\eta < 0$. Variations on this will also work if f is time-dependent. Note the similarity to the results for discrete-time models obtained by Brock and Gale (1969), McFadden (1973) and Boyd (1986).

4.3 Multi-Sector Models

Models with heterogeneous goods also fall into our framework. One such model is the problem of harvesting two species in a predator-prey relationship (Haurie and Hung, 1976; Haurie 1980). Benhabib and Nishimura (1979) give examples of n-sector models without joint production. Yet another example is the continuous-time von Neumann model used by Magill (1981). Given a production set Π , a reduced process is a pair $(z(t), q(t)) \in \Pi \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n}_+$, $q(t) = c(t) + \dot{z}(t)$ with $c, \dot{z} \geq 0$ where z gives the input stock and q is the flow of output. The production set Π satisfies

- (1) Π is a closed convex cone.
- (2) If $(k,y) \in \Pi$ and k = 0, then y = 0.
- (3) There is an $(k,y) \in \Pi$ with y > 0.
- (4) If $(k,y) \in \Pi$, and $k' \ge k$ and $0 \le y' \le y$, then $(k',y') \in \Pi$.

By setting $\Omega = \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Pi$, so $G(t,k) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}_+^n : (k,y) \in \Pi\}$, we can recast the model in our framework. Condition (3) insures that the cross-sections of Π used in G are bounded. Since they are also closed, the investment correspondence is compact-valued.

An example of such a production set is the von Neumann (1945) technology given by $\Pi = \{(k,y) : (-k,y) \le (-A,B)z, z \ge 0, y \ge 0\}$ where (A, B) is a pair of nxm matrices with non-negative entries such that for any j there is an i with $a_{ij} > 0$ and for any i there is a j with $b_{ij} > 0$.

Define $\alpha(k,y) = \sup \{\alpha \in \mathbb{R} : y \ge \alpha k\}$ whenever $(k,y) \in \Pi$. The following theorem concerning maximal balanced growth is due to von Neumann (1945) and Gale (1956).

VON NEUMANN EQUILIBRIUM THEOREM. There exists a vector of prices p^* , an interest rate r^* , a capital stock k^* , and an expansion rate α^* , such that

- (1) $(r^*p^*, p^*)(-k, y) \le 0$ for all $(k, y) \in \Pi$.
- (2) $\alpha^* = \alpha(k^*, y^*) = \sup \{\alpha(k, y) : (k, y) \in \Pi, (k, y) \neq 0\}$ where $y^* = \alpha^* k^*$.
- (3) $0 < \alpha^* = r^* < \infty, p^* \ge 0, k^* \ge 0.$

Magill (1981) considers models that satisfy the KMT condition $p^*y^* > 0$ (see Kemeny, Morgenstern and Thompson, 1956 or Takayama, 1985). Either regularity (k > 0 whenever (k,y) $\in \Pi$ and $\alpha(k,y) = \alpha^*$) or Gale's (1956)

irreducibility criterion implies the KMT condition. For k in the attainable set $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}) = \{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbf{A} : (\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}) \in \Pi, \ \mathbf{k} \geq 0 \ \text{and} \ 0 \leq \mathbf{k}(0) \leq \mathbf{x} \}$, Magill (1981) shows max $\{|\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{t})|, |\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{t})|\} \leq \mathbf{A} \exp \left[(\alpha^{\mathbf{x}} + \epsilon)\mathbf{t}\right] \ \text{a.e.}$ for any $\epsilon > 0$. An examination of his proof reveals that ϵ can be taken as zero when $\mathbf{p}^{\mathbf{x}}$ is strictly positive. Define $\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{y}) = \sup \{\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{c}) : (\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{c}) \in \Pi, \ \mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{n}} \}$, where \mathbf{v} is any Epstein-Hynes discounting function defined over n consumption goods. Taking $\mu_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{t}) = \mathbf{A} \exp \left[(\alpha^{\mathbf{x}} + \epsilon)\mathbf{t}\right]$ and $\rho_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{t}) = \mathbf{v}(\mu_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{t}), \dots, \mu_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{t}))$ satisfies both the Technology and Felicity Conditions. Thus optimal paths exist.

In the additively separable case, curvature conditions (asymptotic elasticity, asymptotic exponent) are required for existence of optimal paths. Under the appropriate curvature conditions, existence follows in a straightforward manner without using the complex weighting schemes employed by Magill (1981).

All these results, in both one- and multi-sector models, also apply to time-varying felicity and discounting functions. One way these might arise is through an uncertain lifetime. Consider an Epstein-Hynes discounting function and suppose the probability of death is given by a continuous density p(t). Following Chang (1986), death is treated as a zero consumption state. Expected utility EU(C) is then

$$-\int_0^\infty p(s) ds \left[\int_0^s \exp\left(-\int_0^t v(c)d\tau\right) dt + \int_0^\infty \exp\left(-\int_0^s v(c)d\tau - \int_s^t v(0)d\tau\right) dt \right]$$

Integrating the first term by parts and integrating the second term yields, after some rearrangement,

$$EU(C) = -\int_0^\infty [1-P(s)+p(s)/v(0)] \exp (-\int_0^s v(c) d\tau) ds$$

where $P(s) = \int_0^s p(\tau) d\tau$ is the probability of dying by time s. Letting $Q(s) = -\log \left[1-P(s)+p(s)/v(0)\right]$ and $q = \dot{Q}$ yields the time-varying felicity function q(s) + v(c). Thus

$$EU(C) = -[1 + p(0)/v(0)] \int_{0}^{\infty} \exp(-\int_{0}^{t} v(c) + q(s) ds) dt$$

This gives us one of the generalized recursive utility functionals studied by Streufert (1986a,b). The same technique, when applied to additively separable utility, yields a time-varying discount factor à la McKenzie (1974) or Mitra (1979). If, in addition, the probability of death has a Poisson distribution, Chang (1986) shows the uncertain lifetime simply alters the discount factor.

5. Conclusion

We conclude the paper by mentioning several problems for further investigation. One problem is to extend the existence theorem to allow jumps in the state variables. Time-additive utility models with state constraints, such as irreversible investment, are known to exhibit state jumps when the dynamic process has a binding constraint. This problem is particularly acute in the realm of perfect foresight equilibrium analysis.²⁰

A second problem is to permit an unbounded horizon. This means that the horizon length is determined endogenously as part of a solution. The optimal horizon may be finite or infinite. The natural resource literature provides a number of interesting models where the horizon is unbounded. Significant implications of the model may depend on whether or not the terminal time is finite.²¹

The existence theory in our paper places the analysis of a class of recursive utility models on a firm foundation. A third problem would be to start the analysis of the optima themselves. Sensitivity analysis is an

obvious first step. What is the effect of the initial stock on the feasible set, the value function and optimal paths? How do continuous changes in the felicity function affect the value function and optimal paths?

A fourth problem would be to demonstrate the existence of shadow prices or dual variables which support an optimum. 22 Previous work in the area of recursive, but not time-additive, utility has focused on applications of the Volterra variational derivative in aggregate models (Wan, 1970; Ryder and Heal, 1973; Epstein and Hynes, 1983; and Sung, 1986). Duality methods for the recursive case have also used standard Pontryagin conditions under the hypothesis of a twice continuously differentiable value function and single capital good (Epstein and Hynes, 1983). What is the correct form of the dual for the general recursive utility programming problem? Do supporting prices exist which obey a form of the no-arbitrage and transversality conditions? Can support prices be used to completely characterize an optimum? Support prices are linear functionals and therefore are elements of a dual space. Are those support prices representable in an economically interesting form? For example, are they represented as an integral functional? These are possible problems for future work on the theoretical foundations of optimal capital accumulation with a recursive objective.

Appendix

The procedure we use to show that the **C** and weak topologies are not equivalent is adapted from standard arguments showing the weak and norm topologies are not equivalent on infinite-dimensional Banach spaces. In fact, weak topologies are usually not characterized by sequences, and thus not metrizable. We will show that, contrary to Balder, the weak topology is not stronger than the **C** topology.

Suppose the contrary and let $V = \{f \in A : \|f\|_{\infty,1} < 1\}$. This is open in C, thus there is a basic weakly open set N with $N \subset V$. By the definition of the weak topology there are $\epsilon, m > 0$ and $g_n \in L^{\infty}$ with compact support, $n = 1, \ldots, m$ with $N = \{f \in A : |f(0)| < \epsilon \text{ and } |\int_0^{\infty} fg_n | < \epsilon \text{ for } n = 1, \ldots, m\}$.

We can regard g_n as a linear functional on A. As such, its null space has codimension at most one. Thus the intersection of the null spaces of the g_n has codimension at most m. As A is infinite-dimensional, there is a non-zero $h \in A$ with $\int_0^\infty \dot{h} g_n = 0$ for all $n = 1, \ldots, m$. Now let $H = h / 2 \|h\|_{\infty, 1}$. Clearly $H \in N$ but $H \notin V$. This contradiction shows that the weak topology cannot be stronger than the C topology on A.

In fact, we have shown that any basic, weakly open neighborhood of zero contains functions that are not in V. Thus we can construct a net that converges weakly to zero, but does not converge in C. Simply use the weakly open neighborhoods of zero as the directed set and, for each neighborhood N, let x_N be an element of N that is not in V.

Footnotes

- Epstein (1985a,b) subsequently generalized the Epstein and Hynes form of recursive utility. He did not address the existence question in those papers.
- 2. Specifically, they assume there is a twice continuously differentiable solution to a generalized Hamilton-Jacobi equation. The existence of such a solution is an open problem.
- 3. Brock and Haurie (1976) thought stock convergence implied flow convergence almost everywhere. Yano (1982) pointed out their mistake. He then claimed there would be a subsequence where the flows converged pointwise. This is only a more sophisticated version of the same error.
- 4. Romer's result admits two interpretations. The first uses weak convergence of the derivatives of the flows. As this implies pointwise convergence of the flows, the objective need not be concave in the flows. The second requires the objective be concave in the flows.
- 5. His Lower Semicontinuity Theorem (10.8.i) is the first that is general enough for our purposes. Earlier results of this type (Cesari, 1974; Ekeland and Temam, 1976; Olech, 1976; Rockafellar, 1976; and Ioffe, 1977) were not powerful enough to handle the general recursive case. Cesari's theorem makes use of a weaker hypothesis than the various "normality" conditions of Rockafellar and Ekeland and Temam (see Cesari, 1983, pp. 351, 365).

- 6. See Beals and Koopmans (1969) and Majumdar (1975) for earlier treatments of existence questions in discrete-time models with general utility functions.
- See Baum (1976), Brock and Haurie (1976), Bates (1978), Takekuma (1980),
 Magill (1981), Yano (1982), Ekeland and Turnbull (1983), Leizarowitz
 (1985), Gaines and Peterson (1985), Carlson (1986), Eirola and Kaitala
 (1986), and Romer (1986).
- 8. Balder's work was built on the results cited in footnote 4. He was also indirectly influenced by Poljak (1969).
- 9. Nairay (1984) applied Magill's result to a subclass of the Uzawa utility functionals. However, his method is not suitable for generalization.
- 10. Recall that a function f is absolutely continuous if for every T and $\epsilon > 0$, there is a δ with $\sum_{i=1}^m |f(t_i) f(s_i)| < \epsilon$ whenever $0 \le t_1 \le s_1 \le \ldots \le t_m \le s_m \le T$ with $\sum_{i=1}^m |t_i s_i| < \delta$. Absolutely continuous functions may be represented as the integral of their derivative \dot{f} by $f(t) = f(0) + \int_0^t \dot{f}(s) \, ds$. Further, the derivative of f not only exists almost everywhere, but it is locally integrable—its integral over any compact set is finite. Conversely, given a locally integrable function g (denoted $g \in L^1_{\ell oc}$), its integral $\int_0^t g(s) \, ds = f(t)$ is absolutely continuous.

- 11. Balder (1983, Appendix A) confuses the equivalence of sequential convergence with the equivalence of the topologies. Sequences are not sufficient to characterize the topologies. See the Appendix for details.
- 12. It immediately follows that $\int \dot{k}_n \varphi \to \int \dot{k} \varphi$ for any simple function φ in $L^\infty(0,T)$. As the simple functions are dense in L^∞ and the \dot{k}_n are normbounded, the integrals will converge for any φ in L^∞ . Thus k_n converges weakly to k.
- 13. Eirola and Kaitala (1986) uncritically used Yano's claim in their existence article.
- 14. The example is based on a mild form of chattering. The chattering only involves the flows, not the state. Romer (1986) gives an economic example where even the state variable suffers from chattering.
- 15. The same argument applies to any orthonormal set in L². The classical orthonormal functions—trigonometric, Hermite, Legendre, etc. all share this property. These examples are commonly used to show weak convergence does not imply norm convergence (Ekeland and Turnbull, 1983, pp. 76-78).
- 16. Cesari (1983, p.107) gives a version of Tonelli's theorem. It shows continuity of the exponential term in (k,y) would imply R is affine in y.

- 17. The fact that $f(k,t) \le \tau(t)(1+k)$ plays a crucial role. When g exhibits increasing returns to scale, the path of pure accumulation may blow up in finite time. Consider $f(k,t) = k^{\alpha}$ for $\alpha > 1$. The path of pure accumulation from x = 1 is $k^{\alpha-1} = 1/[(1-\alpha)t + 1]$. Thus $k(1/(\alpha-1)) = +\infty$.
- 18. There are two important differences in Nairay's work. First, he only requires $k \geq -w/r$ rather than $k \geq 0$. This can be handled through an appropriate modification of Ω . Second, he does not impose a lower bound on k. However, he uses convexity properties to show k is bounded on optimal paths. Without loss of generality we can assume k is bounded and the technology conditions are satisfied.
- 19. Other types of one-sector models, such as the joint production model of Liviatan and Samuelson (1969), also fit neatly into our framework.
- 20. Araujo and Scheinkman (1983) and Romer (1986) may be consulted for a detailed discussion of the economic importance of the jump case.

 Existence theory for this problem in time-additive models was the subject of Murray (1986).
- 21. Toman (1985) provides examples and existence results for a class of timeadditive models with an unbounded horizon.
- 22. Duality theory for concave time-additive models has been the subject of many papers; the paper by Araujo and Scheinkman (1983) represents the current state of that research.

References

Araujo, Aloisio and Jose A. Scheinkman (1983), Maximum Principle and Transversality Condition for Concave Infinite Horizon Models, *J. Econ. Theory* 30, 1-17.

Balder, E. J. (1983), An Existence Result for Optimal Economic Growth Problems, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 95, 195-213.

Bates, G. R. (1978), Lower Closure and Existence Theorems for Optimal Control Problems with Infinite Horizon, J. Opt. Theory Appl. 24, 639-649.

Baum, R. F. (1976), Existence Theorems for Lagrange Control Problems with Unbounded Time Domain, J. Opt. Theory Appl. 24, 639-649.

Beals, Richard and Tjalling C. Koopmans (1969), Maximizing Stationary Utility in a Constant Technology, SIAM J. Appl. Math. 17, 1001-1015.

Becker, Robert A., John H. Boyd III and Ciprian Foias (1986), The Existence of Ramsey Equilbrium, Working Paper, Indiana University.

Becker, Robert A. and Ciprian Foias (1986), A Characterization of Ramsey Equilibrium, J. Econ. Theory, forthcoming.

Benhabib, Jess and Kazuo Nishimura (1979), The Hopf Bifurcation and the Existence and Stability of Closed Orbits in Multisector Models of Optimal Economic Growth, J. Econ. Theory 21, 421-444.

Boyd, John H., III (1986), Preferences, Technology and Dynamic Equilibria, PhD. Dissertation, Indiana University.

Brock, William A. and David Gale (1969), Optimal Growth under Factor Augmenting Progress, J. Econ. Theory 1, 229-243.

Brock, William A. and A. Haurie (1976), On Existence of Overtaking Optimal Trajectories over an Infinite Time Horizon, Math. Operations Research 1, 337-346.

Carlson, D. A. (1986), On the Existence of Catching-Up Optimal Solutions for Lagrange Problems Defined on Unbounded Intervals, Math. Operations Research 1, 337-346.

Cesari, Lamberto (1974), A Necessary and Sufficient Condition for Lower Semicontinuity, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 80, 467-472.

Cesari, Lamberto (1974), Lower Semicontinuity and Lower Closure Theorems without Seminormality Conditions, Annali di Matematica Pura e Applicata 98, 381-397.

Cesari, Lamberto (1983), Optimization--Theory and Applications, Springer, New York.

Chang, Fwu-Ranq (1986), Uncertain Lifetimes, Retirement and Economic Welfare, Working Paper, Indiana University.

Clark, Colin (1971), Economically Optimal Policies for the Utilization of Biologically Renewable Resources, Mathematical Biosciences 12, 245-260.

Coddington, E. A. and Norman Levinson (1955), Theory of Ordinary Differential Equations, McGraw-Hill, New York.

Davidson, Russell and Richard Harris (1981), Non-Convexities in Continuous-Time Investment Theory, Rev. Econ. Stud. 48, 235-253.

Eirola, T. and V. Kaitala (1986), On the Existence of Overtaking Trajectories, J. Opt. Theory Appl. 49, 227-236.

Ekeland, Ivar and Roger Temam (1976), Convex Analysis and Variational Problems, North-Holland, Amsterdam.

Ekeland, Ivar and Thomas Turnbull (1983), Infinite Dimensional Optimization and Convexity, University of Chicago Press, Chicago.

Epstein, Larry G. (1985a), A Simple Dynamic General Equilibrium Model, Working Paper #8501, University of Toronto.

Epstein, Larry G. (1985b), The Global Stability of Efficient Intertemporal Allocations, Working Paper #8507, University of Toronto.

Epstein, Larry G. and J. Allan Hynes (1983), The Rate of Time Preference and Dynamic Economic Analysis, J. Pol. Econ. 91, 611-635.

Gaines, R. E. and J. K. Peterson (1985), The Existence of Optimal Consumption Policies in Optimal Economic Growth Models with Nonconvex Technologies, J. Econ. Theory 37, 76-98.

Gale, David (1956), The Closed Linear Model of Production, in Linear Inequalities and Related Systems (H. W. Kuhn and A. W. Tucker, eds.), Princeton University Press, Princeton.

Halmos, Paul R. (1950), Measure Theory, D. Van Nostrand, Princeton.

Halmos, Paul R. (1951), Introduction to Hilbert Space, Chelsea, New York.

Hartman, Philip (1982), Ordinary Differential Equations, Birkhauser, Boston.

Haurie, A. (1980), Existence and Global Asymptotic Stability of Optimal Trajectories for a Class of Infinite-Horizon, Nonconvex Systems, J. Opt. Theory Appl. 31, 515-533.

Haurie, A. and N. M. Hung (1976), Further Aspects of Turnpike Theory in Continuous Time with Applications, J. Dyn. Sys. Meas. Control 98, 85-90.

Hicks, John (1965), Capital and Growth, Oxford University Press, Oxford.

Ioffe, A. D. (1977), On Lower Semicontinuity of Integral Functionals. I, SIAM J. Control Opt. 15, 521-538.

Kemeny, J. G., Oskar Morgenstern and G. L. Thompson (1956), A Generalization of the von Neumann Model of an Expanding Economy, Econometrica 24, 115-135.

Koopmans, Tjalling C. (1960), Stationary Ordinal Utility and Impatience, Econometrica 28, 287-309.

Koopmans, Tjalling C. (1967), Objectives, Constraints, and Outcomes in Optimal Growth Models, Econometrica 35, 1-15.

Koopmans, Tjalling C. (1975), Concepts of Optimality and Their Uses, Les Prix Nobel en 1975, Nobel Foundation, Stockholm.

Leizarowitz, A. (1985), Existence of Overtaking Optimal Trajectories for Problems with Convex Integrands, Math. Operations Research 10, 450-461.

Liviatan, Nissan and Paul A. Samuelson (1969), Notes on Turnpikes: Stable and Unstable, J. Econ. Theory 1, 454-475.

Lucas, Robert E., Jr. and Nancy L. Stokey (1984), Optimal Growth with Many Consumers, J. Econ. Theory 32, 139-171.

Magill, Michael J. P. (1981), Infinite Horizon Programs, Econometrica 49, 679-711.

Majumdar, Mukul (1975), Some Remarks on Optimal Growth with Intertemporally Dependent Preferences in the Neoclassical Model, Rev. Econ. Stud. 42, 147-153.

McFadden, Daniel (1973), On the Existence of Optimal Development Programmes in Infinite-Horizon Economies, in Models of Economic Growth, (J. A. Mirrlees and N. H. Stern, eds.), John Wiley and Sons, New York.

McKenzie, Lionel W. (1974), Turnpike Theorems with Technology and Welfare Function Variable, in Mathematical Models in Economics, (J. Los and M. W. Los, eds.), North Holland, New York.

Mitra, Tapan (1979), On Optimal Economic Growth with Variable Discount Rates: Existence and Stability Results, International Econ. Rev. 20, 133-145.

Murray, J. M. (1986), Existence Theorems for Optimal Control and Calculus of Variations Problems Where the States Can Jump, SIAM J. Control Opt. 24, 412-438.

Nairay, Alain (1984), Asymptotic Behavior and Optimal Properties of a Consumptin-Investment Model with Variable Time Preference, J. Econ. Dynamics Control 7, 283-313.

Olech, Czeslaw (1976), Weak Lower Semicontinuity of Integral Functionals, J. Opt. Theory Appl. 19, 3-16.

Poljak, B. T. (1969), Semicontinuity of Integral Functionals and Existence Theorems for Extremal Problems, Math. USSR-Sb. 7, 59-77.

Ramsey, Frank P. (1928), A Mathematical Theory of Saving, Econ. J. 38, 543-559.

Rockafellar, R. Tyrrell (1976), Integral Functionals, Normal Integrands and Measurable Selections, in Nonlinear Operators and the Calculus of Variations, Lecture Notes in Mathematics #543, Springer-Verlag, New York.

Romer, Paul (1986), Cake Eating, Chattering and Jumps: Existence Results for Wariational Problems, Econometrica 54, 897-908.

Ryder, Harl E. and Geoffrey M. Heal (1973), Optimal Growth with Intertemporally Dependent Preferences, Rev. Econ. Stud. 40, 1-33.

Schechtman, Jack (1976), An Income Fluctuation Problem, J. Econ. Theory 12, 218-241.

Schechtman, Jack and Vera L. S. Escudero (1977), Some Results on "An Income Fluctuation Problem", J. Econ. Theory 16, 151-166.

Skiba, A. K. (1978), Optimal Growth with a Convex-Concave Production Function, Econometrica 46, 527-539.

Streufert, Peter A. (1986), Definitiveness, Tail Insensitivity, and Product Continuity, University of Wisconsin-Madison, SSRI Workshop Series #8610.

Streufert, Peter A. (1986), The Recursive Expression of Consistent Intergenerational Utility Functions, University of Wisconsin-Madison, SSRI Workshop Series #8609.

Sung, Bom Yong (1986), Optimal Growth, Equilibrium and Recursive Utility, PhD. Dissertation, Indiana University.

Takayama, Akira (1985), Mathematical Economics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

Toman, Michael A. (1985), Optimal Control with an Unbounded Horizon, J. Econ. Dynamics Control 9, 291-316.

Uzawa, H. (1968), Time Preference, the Consumption Function, and Optimum Asset Holdings, in Value, Capital and Growth: Papers in Honour of Sir John Hicks (J.N. Wolfe, ed.), Edinburgh University Press, Edinburgh.

Varaiya, P. P. (1967), On the Trajectories of A Differential System, in Mathematical Theory of Control (A. K. Balakrishnan and L. W. Neustadt, eds.), Academic Press, New York.

von Neumann, John (1945), A Model of General Economic Equilibrium, Rev. Econ. Stud. 13, 1-9, in Über ein ökonomisches Gleichungssystem und eine Verallgemeinerung des Brouwerschen Fixpunktsatzes, Ergebnisse eins Mathematischen Kolloquiums 8 (1939) 73-83.

Wan, Henry Y., Jr. (1970), Optimal Saving Programs Under Intertemporally Dependent Preferences, International Econ. Rev. 11, 521-547.

Yano, Makoto (1982), A Note on the Existence of an Optimal Capital Accumulation in the Continuous Time Horizon, J. Econ. Theory 27, 421-429.

Rochester Center for Economic Research University of Rochester Department of Economics Rochester, NY 14627

1986-87 DISCUSSION PAPERS

- WP#33 OIL PRICE SHOCKS AND THE DISPERSION HYPOTHESIS, 1900 1980 by Prakash Loungani, January 1986
- WP#34 RISK SHARING, INDIVISIBLE LABOR AND AGGREGATE FLUCTUATIONS by Richard Rogerson, (Revised) February 1986
- WP#35 PRICE CONTRACTS, OUTPUT, AND MONETARY DISTURBANCES by Alan C. Stockman, October 1985
- WP#36 FISCAL POLICIES AND INTERNATIONAL FINANCIAL MARKETS by Alan C. Stockman, March 1986
- WP#37 LARGE-SCALE TAX REFORM: THE EXAMPLE OF EMPLOYER-PAID HEALTH INSURANCE PREMIUMS by Charles E. Phelps, March 1986
- WP#38 INVESTMENT, CAPACITY UTILIZATION AND THE REAL BUSINESS CYCLE by Jeremy Greenwood and Zvi Hercowitz, April 1986
- WP#39 THE ECONOMICS OF SCHOOLING: PRODUCTION AND EFFICIENCY IN PUBLIC SCHOOLS by Eric A. Hanushek, April 1986
- WP#40 EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS IN DUAL LABOR MARKETS (IT'S NICE WORK IF YOU CAN GET IT!)
 by Walter Y. Oi, April 1986.
- WP#41 SECTORAL DISTURBANCES, GOVERNMENT POLICIES, AND INDUSTRIAL OUTPUT IN SEVEN EUROPEAN COUNTRIES by Alan C. Stockman, April 1986.
- WP#42 SMOOOTH VALUATIONS FUNCTIONS AND DETERMINANCY WITH INFINITELY LIVED CONSUMERS
 by Timothy J. Kehoe, David K. Levine and Paul R. Romer, April 1986.
- WP#43 AN OPERATIONAL THEORY OF MONOPOLY UNION-COMPETITIVE FIRM INTERACTION by Glenn M. MacDonald and Chris Robinson, June 1986.
- WP#44 JOB MOBILITY AND THE INFORMATION CONTENT OF EQUILIBRIUM WAGES: PART 1, by Glenn M. MacDonald, June 1986.
- WP#45 SKI-LIFT PRICING, WITH AN APPLICATION TO THE LABOR MARKET by Robert J. Barro and Paul M. Romer, May 1986.

- WP#46 FORMULA BUDGETING: THE ECONOMICS AND ANALYTICS OF FISCAL POLICY UNDER RULES, by Eric A. Hanushek, June 1986.
- WP#48 EXCHANGE RATE POLICY, WAGE FORMATION, AND CREDIBILITY by Henrik Horn and Torsten Persson. June 1986.
- WP#49 MONEY AND BUSINESS CYCLES: COMMENTS ON BERNANKE AND RELATED LITERATURE, by Robert G. King, July 1986.
- WP#50 NOMINAL SURPRISES, REAL FACTORS AND PROPAGATION MECHANISMS by Robert G. King and Charles I. Plosser, Final Draft: July 1986.
- WP#51 JOB MOBILITY IN MARKET EQUILIBRIUM by Glenn M. MacDonald, August 1986.
- WP#52 SECRECY, SPECULATION AND POLICY by Robert G. King, (revised) August 1986.
- WP#53 THE TULIPMANIA LEGEND by Peter M. Garber, July 1986.
- WP#54 THE WELFARE THEOREMS AND ECONOMIES WITH LAND AND A FINITE NUMBER OF TRADERS, by Marcus Berliant and Karl Dunz, July 1986.
- WP#55 NONLABOR SUPPLY RESPONSES TO THE INCOME MAINTENANCE EXPERIMENTS by Eric A. Hanushek, August 1986.
- WP#56 INDIVISIBLE LABOR, EXPERIENCE AND INTERTEMPORAL ALLOCATIONS by Vittorio U. Grilli and Richard Rogerson, September 1986.
- WP#57 TIME CONSISTENCY OF FISCAL AND MONETARY POLICY by Mats Persson, Torsten Persson and Lars E. O. Svensson, September 1986.
- WP#58 ON THE NATURE OF UNEMPLOYMENT IN ECONOMIES WITH EFFICIENT RISK SHARING, by Richard Rogerson and Randall Wright, September 1986.
- WP#59 INFORMATION PRODUCTION, EVALUATION RISK, AND OPTIMAL CONTRACTS by Monica Hargraves and Paul M. Romer, September 1986.
- WP#60 RECURSIVE UTILITY AND THE RAMSEY PROBLEM by John H. Boyd III, October 1986.
- WP#61 WHO LEAVES WHOM IN DURABLE TRADING MATCHES by Kenneth J. McLaughlin. October 1986.
- WP#62 SYMMETRIES, EQUILIBRIA AND THE VALUE FUNCTION by John H. Boyd III, December 1986.
- WP#63 A NOTE ON INCOME TAXATION AND THE CORE by Marcus Berliant, December 1986.

- WP#64 INCREASING RETURNS, SPECIALIZATION, AND EXTERNAL ECONOMIES: GROWTH AS DESCRIBED BY ALLYN YOUNG, By Paul M. Romer, December 1986.
- WP#65 THE QUIT-LAYOFF DISTINCTION: EMPIRICAL REGULARITIES by Kenneth J. McLaughlin, December 1986.
- WP#66 FURTHER EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN FISCAL POLICY AND THE TERM STRUCTURE, by Charles I. Plosser, December 1986.
- WP#67 INVENTORIES AND THE VOLATILITY OF PRODUCTION by James A. Kahn, December 1986.
- WP#68 RECURSIVE UTILITY AND OPTIMAL CAPITAL ACCUMULATION, I: EXISTENCE, by Robert A. Becker, John H. Boyd III, and Bom Yong Sung.

To order copies of the above papers complete the attached invoice and return to Christine Massaro, W. Allen Wallis Institute of Political Economy, RCER, 109B Harkness Hall, University of Rochester, Rochester, NY 14627. Three (3) papers per year will be provided free of charge as requested below. Each additional paper will require a \$5.00 service fee which must be enclosed with your order. For your convenience an invoice is provided below in order that you may request payment from your institution as necessary. Please make your check payable to the Rochester Center for Economic Research. Checks must be drawn from a U.S. bank and in U.S. dollars.

W. Allen Wallis Institute for Political Economy

Rochester Center for Economic Research, Working Paper Series				
	OFFICIAL INV	OICE		
Requestor's Name				
Requestor's Address				
Discourse de la California				
Please send me the following	g papers free of charge	e (Limit: 3 free per year).		
WP#	WP#	WP#		
I understand there is a \$5.00 money order in the amount of	fee for each additiona of \$ Ple	al paper. Enclosed is my check ase send me the following pap	or ers.	
WP#	WP#	WP#		
WP#	WP#	WP#		
WP#	WP#	WP#		
WP#	WP#	WP#		