

Efficiency Bound Calculations for a Time Series Model with Conditional
Heteroskedasticity

Heaton, John and Masao Ogaki

Working Paper No. 163
November 1988

University of
Rochester

EFFICIENCY BOUND CALCULATIONS FOR A TIME SERIES MODEL
WITH CONDITIONAL HETEROSKEDASTICITY

John C. Heaton

and

Masao Ogaki*

Working Paper No. 163

November 1988

*John C. Heaton is Student, Department of Economics, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL 60637 and Economics Research Center, National Opinion Research Center, 1155 East 60th Street, Chicago, IL 60637. Masao Ogaki is Assistant Professor, Department of Economics and Research Center for Economic Research, University of Rochester, Rochester, NY 14627. Heaton's work was supported by the Greentree Foundation and the Social Science and Humanities Research Council of Canada. Most of the work was completed while Masao Ogaki was a Sloan Foundation Dissertation Fellow. The authors thank Mahmoud El-Gamal, Adrian Pagan, and participants of the Econometrics seminar at University of Rochester for helpful comments and especially Lars Hansen for his prominent role in the formulation of this note.

INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this note is to present an algorithm for calculating an efficiency bound among a class of estimators for a continuous time financial economics model examined by Grossman, Melino, and Shiller (1987), Hall (1987), and Hansen and Singleton (1987) and the martingale taxation model examined by Barro (1981). In these continuous time models there are two-period conditional moment restrictions that result from time averaging the underlying continuous time processes and then sampling these averages. These conditional moment restrictions can be used to estimate an unknown parameter vector using the *generalized method of moments (GMM)* procedure set out by Hansen (1982). There is a vast array of *GMM* estimators that can be used to estimate the parameter vector. Hence it is of interest to compare the performance of the alternative *GMM* estimators. We apply a method developed by Hansen, Heaton, Ogaki (1988) to calculate a greatest lower bound for the asymptotic covariance matrices of the alternative *GMM* estimators, i.e. an efficiency bound.¹

In the continuous time models cited above, there is a martingale restriction. When only time-averaged discrete time data is available, the martingale restriction results in a two-period conditional moment restriction. This restriction leads to an econometric disturbance. Under certain assumptions this disturbance has a first-order autocorrelation which is known *a priori* as Working (1960) pointed out. This knowledge implies another two-period conditional moment restriction. The second moment restriction induces conditional heteroskedasticity in the disturbance. We provide an algorithm to calculate the efficiency bound for *GMM* estimators

¹In this paper we follow the notation of Hansen et al. (1988) as closely as possible.

when both of these conditional moment restrictions are used. Hansen and Singleton (1987) calculated the efficiency bound using our algorithm. They also calculated the efficiency bound when only the first moment restriction is used. The algorithm they used for this case exploits the analysis in an example of Hansen (1985) which assumes conditional homoskedasticity. The calculations of Hansen and Singleton showed that there is a notable efficiency gain from the imposition of the second moment restriction for their model.

CALCULATING EFFICIENCY BOUNDS

Consider a q -dimensional random vector Gaussian process $\{x_t : -\infty < t < \infty\}$. Let $\{w_t : -\infty < t < \infty\}$ denote a q -dimensional random vector process that is fundamental for x_t in the sense of linear prediction theory. In other words, the entries of w_t are orthonormal, the process $\{w_t : -\infty < t < \infty\}$ is serially uncorrelated, and w_t and x_t are informationally equivalent from the vantage point of linear least squares prediction [e.g. see Rozanov (1967)].

We can view that the stochastic process w_t is generated from a random variable w on a probability space (Ω, A, Pr) in conjunction with a transformation S mapping Ω onto Ω in the following manner (see Breiman [1968] pp. 106-120). The stochastic process $\{w_t : -\infty < t < \infty\}$ is constructed from the random vector $w = w_0$ via $w_t(\omega) = w[S^t(\omega)]$ for $-\infty < t < \infty$. Here S^t is interpreted as the transformation S applied t times for positive values of t . In addition we can take the transformation S so that S is one-to-one, measurable, measure-preserving, and ergodic and S^{-1} is measurable. Since S is one-to-one $w[S^t(\omega)]$ is also well-defined for negative values of t . Suppose that h is a random vector on Ω . Then h in conjunction with S generates a stochastic process via $h_t(\omega) = h[S^t(\omega)]$. Throughout this note we find it convenient to index stochastic processes constructed in this

fashion.

For notational convenience, we define $y' = [x', x_{-1}']$. Let B_t be the sigma algebra generated by y_t, y_{t-1}, \dots . Since x is Gaussian, best linear predictors coincide with conditional expectations and w is normally distributed and independent of B_{-1} .

Suppose that a particular linear combination of x is a two-period-ahead forecast error. More precisely, $E\{u | B_{-2}\} = 0$ where $u = [1 \ \beta_0 \ 0]x$ and β_0 is a scalar parameter to be estimated. In addition,

$$u = [1 \ \beta_0 \ 0]x = \nu_0 \cdot w + \nu_1 \cdot w_{-1} \quad (1)$$

where ν_0 and ν_1 are q -dimensional vectors of real numbers. A second restriction is that $E(u u_{-1} | B_{-2}) / E(u^2 | B_{-2}) = \nu_0 \cdot \nu_1 / (\nu_0 \cdot \nu_0 + \nu_1 \cdot \nu_1) = \rho$, or equivalently that

$$E(\rho u^2 - u u_{-1} | B_{-2}) = 0 \quad (2)$$

where ρ is .25. The law of motion for the process x can be quite complicated and may depend on a large (or even infinite) number of auxiliary parameters. There is only one parameter of interest, namely β_0 , and accordingly we focus on efficiency bounds for that parameter.

Define the disturbance vector

$$e = \begin{bmatrix} u \\ \rho u^2 - u u_{-1} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (3)$$

and a function

$$\phi(y, \beta) = \begin{bmatrix} [1 \ \beta \ 0]x \\ \rho([1 \ \beta \ 0]x)^2 - ([1 \ \beta \ 0]x)([1 \ \beta \ 0]x_{-1}) \end{bmatrix}. \quad (4)$$

The conditional moment restrictions take the form $E(e | B_{-2}) = 0$ where $e = \phi(y, \beta_0)$ is the disturbance vector. Notice that the model is nonlinear in

both the parameters and the variables even though the underlying process x is Gaussian. The entries of e are either normally distributed random variables or products of normally distributed random variables. Hence these entries have moments of all orders.

We construct estimators of β_0 as follows: Let z be an $n \times k$ matrix of random variables that are measurable with respect to the time -2 information set B_{-2} . In addition, suppose that $E|z|^\eta$ is finite for some η ; then $E(z'e) = 0$. We refer to a consistent estimator $\{b_T: T \geq 1\}$ of β_0 for which $\{(1/T)^{1/2} \sum_{t=1}^T [z'_t \phi(y_t, b_T)] : T \geq 1\}$ is $o_p(1)$ (converges in probability to zero) as a *GMM* estimator with index z . Such estimator can also be viewed as an *M* estimator and as an instrumental variables estimator where z' is a matrix of instrumental variables.

Since there is great flexibility in the selection of z we have at our disposal a rich class of estimators of β_0 . We find it convenient to introduce an index set for a family of such estimators: Let B^τ be the subsigma algebra of B_{-2} that is generated by $x, x_{-1}, \dots, x_{-\tau}$, and

$$Z^\tau = \{z : z \text{ is an } n \times k \text{ matrix of random variables that are measurable with respect to } B^\tau \text{ and } E(|z|^\eta) < \infty\} .$$

Indexes in the space Z^τ are constructed using functions of the current and τ lags of the vector x . The specification of the finite lag τ is both arbitrary and inconvenient. For this reason, we consider the larger index set $Z = \bigcup_{\tau=1}^{\infty} Z^\tau$. This space is a linear subspace of $L^\eta(Pr)$ but is not necessarily closed.

Let $d = \partial \phi(y, \beta_0) / \partial \beta$, $B^* = B_{-2}$, and $d^* = E(d|B^*)$. The matrix of random variables d is given by

$$d = \left[\frac{[0 \ 1 \ 0]x}{2\rho([1 \ \beta_0 \ 0]x)([0 \ 1 \ 0]x) - ([1 \ \beta_0 \ 0]x)([0 \ 1 \ 0]x_{-1}) - ([0 \ 1 \ 0]x)([1 \ \beta_0 \ 0]x_{-1})} \right].$$

The entries of both d and e are either normally distributed random variables or products of normally distributed random variables. Hence these entries have moments of all orders. Let η be any positive number greater than 2, then Assumption 4 of Hansen et al. (1988) is satisfied.

As discussed in Hansen et al. (1988), a *GMM* estimator b_T indexed by z in Z has a limiting normal distribution with asymptotic covariance matrix:

$$\text{Cov}(z) = [E(z' d^*)]^{-1} \left[\sum_{\tau=-1}^1 z' e e' z_{\tau} \right] [E(z' d^*)']^{-1} \quad (5)$$

as long as $E(z' d^*)$ is nonsingular. When $E(z' d^*)$ is singular, we define $\text{Cov}(z) = \infty$. Relation (5) gives a mapping Cov from the index set Z into the collection, PSD , of $k \times k$ positive semidefinite matrices augmented by the point infinity. The set PSD can be partially ordered as follows: The inequality $c \leq c^*$ is satisfied for c and c^* in PSD if $c^* - c$ is in PSD . Let LB be the subset of PSD containing all matrices c that satisfy $c \leq \text{Cov}(z)$ for all z in Z . The efficiency bound $\text{Inf}(Z)$ is defined to be the maximal element of LB assuming such a maximal element exists.

We calculate the efficiency bound in four steps. In the first step we obtain the conditional forward moving-average representation for e given in Lemma 4.1 of Hansen et al. (1988). Applying the lemma we know that e can be represented as $e = \lambda_0^0 e_0^+ + \lambda_1^1 e_1^+$, where λ_0^0 and λ_1^1 are 2×2 matrix of random variables that are measurable with respect to B^* and have finite second moments, λ_0^0 is nonsingular almost surely, and $E(e_j^+ e_j^{+'} | B^*) = 0$ for all $j \neq 0$.

It turns out that e is conditionally heteroskedastic. In particular, calculating from the definition of e and relation (1),

$$E(ee' | B^*) = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} \mu_{11} & \chi_{11} \cdot w_{-2} \\ \hline \chi_{11} \cdot w_{-2} & \mu_{12} + \mu_{11} (\nu_1 \cdot w_{-2})^2 \end{array} \right], \text{ and} \quad (6)$$

$$E(ee'_{-1} | B^*) = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} \mu_{21} & \chi_{12} \cdot w_{-2} + \chi_{21} \cdot w_{-3} \\ \hline \chi_{21} \cdot w_{-2} & \mu_{22} + \chi_{22} (\nu_1 \cdot w_{-2})^2 + \mu_{21} (\nu_1 \cdot w_{-2}) (\nu_0 \cdot w_{-2}) \\ & + \mu_{21} (\nu_1 \cdot w_{-2}) (\nu_1 \cdot w_{-3}) \end{array} \right]. \quad (7)$$

The nonrandom μ 's and χ 's are functions of ν_0 and ν_1 : $\mu_{11} \equiv (\nu_0 \cdot \nu_0) + (\nu_1 \cdot \nu_1)$, $\chi_{11} \equiv -[(\nu_0 \cdot \nu_0) + (\nu_1 \cdot \nu_1)]\nu_1$, $\mu_{12} \equiv (\nu_0 \cdot \nu_0)^2 - (\nu_1 \cdot \nu_0)^2 + (\nu_0 \cdot \nu_0)(\nu_1 \cdot \nu_1)$, $\mu_{21} \equiv \nu_1 \cdot \nu_0$, $\chi_{12} \equiv 2\rho(\nu_0 \cdot \nu_1)(\nu_1 - \nu_0)$, $\chi_{21} \equiv -(\nu_0 \cdot \nu_1)\nu_1$, $\mu_{22} \equiv 2\rho [\rho (\nu_1 \cdot \nu_0)^2 - (\nu_1 \cdot \nu_0)(\nu_0 \cdot \nu_0)]$, and $\chi_{22} \equiv -2\rho(\nu_1 \cdot \nu_0)$.

Note that the second component of e introduces conditional heteroskedasticity. As a consequence, λ^0 and λ^1 depend on conditioning information. Suppose that λ^0 and λ^1 have the form

$$\lambda^0 = \begin{bmatrix} \xi_{11} & 0 \\ \alpha_{11} \cdot w_{-2} & \xi_{12} \end{bmatrix} \text{ and} \quad (8)$$

$$\lambda^1 = \begin{bmatrix} \xi_{21} & 0 \\ \alpha_{21} \cdot w_{-2} + \alpha_{22} \cdot w_{-3} & \xi_{22} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (9)$$

where the ξ 's and the α 's are nonrandom. We require $|\xi_{21}| < |\xi_{11}|$ and $|\xi_{22}| < |\xi_{12}|$ so that $\lambda_0^0 e_0^+ + \lambda_1^1 e_1^+$ has the same informational content as e . We can calculate the conditional autocovariances of $e = \lambda_0^0 e_0^+ + \lambda_1^1 e_1^+$ as a function of the parameters of λ^0 and λ^1 in equations (8) and (9). Matching these autocovariances with the conditional autocovariances calculated by the definition of e and relation (1),

$$(\xi_{11})^2 + (\xi_{21})^2 = \nu_0 \cdot \nu_0 + \nu_1 \cdot \nu_1, \quad (10)$$

$$\xi_{11} \xi_{21} = \nu_0 \cdot \nu_1, \quad (11)$$

$$\alpha_{11} = -\nu_1 (\nu_1 \cdot \nu_0) / \xi_{21}, \quad (12)$$

$$\alpha_{21} = (\nu_1 \cdot \nu_0) (2\rho\nu_1 - \nu_0) / \xi_{11}, \quad (13)$$

$$\alpha_{22} = -\nu_1 (\nu_1 \cdot \nu_0) / \xi_{11}, \quad (14)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\xi_{12})^2 + (\xi_{22})^2 &= (\nu_0 \cdot \nu_0)^2 + (\nu_0 \cdot \nu_0)(\nu_1 \cdot \nu_1) - (\nu_1 \cdot \nu_0)^2 \\ &\quad - (\xi_{21})^2 (2\rho\nu_1 - \nu_0) \cdot (2\rho\nu_1 - \nu_0) \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

and

$$\xi_{12} \xi_{22} = 2\rho^2 (\nu_1 \cdot \nu_0)^2 - 2\rho (\nu_1 \cdot \nu_0) (\nu_0 \cdot \nu_0). \quad (16)$$

Equations (10), (11), (15) and (16) can be solved for the parameters ξ_{11} , ξ_{12} , ξ_{21} , and ξ_{22} subject to the restrictions that $|\xi_{21}| < |\xi_{11}|$ and $|\xi_{22}| < |\xi_{12}|$. Equations (12)-(14) then determine α_{11} , α_{21} , and α_{22} . Thus for these values of the parameters λ^0 and λ^1 in (8) and (9) give a conditional forward representation.

In the second step we calculate the operators Ψ and Ψ^- defined by Hansen et al. (1988). Let $\psi^0 = (\lambda^0)^{-1}$ and $\psi^j = -(\lambda_{-j}^0)^{-1} \sum_{\tau=1}^j (\lambda_{\tau-j}^\tau)^{-1} (\psi^{j-\tau})$ for $j \geq 1$ where $\lambda^j = 0$ for $j \geq 2$. Let D^+ be the set of all $n \times k$ matrices of random variables that are measurable with respect to B^* . Let D be the subset of D^+ for which $E[(\psi_j^j) z_j | B^*]$ is well defined for each j and $\Psi(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} E[(\psi_j^j) z_j | B^*]$ converges in $L^2(Pr)$. Similarly let D^- be the subset of D^+ for which $\Psi^-(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (\psi_j^j)' z_{-j}$ converges in $L^2(Pr)$. Then D and D^- are the domains of the operators Ψ and Ψ^- , respectively. Using (8) and (9), ψ^0 and ψ^j are given by:

$$\psi^0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1/\xi_{11} & 0 \\ (\nu_1 \cdot w_{-2})/\xi_{12} & 1/\xi_{22} \end{bmatrix}, \text{ and} \quad (17)$$

$$\psi^j = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} (\varphi_1)^j / \xi_{11} & 0 \\ \hline - \sum_{\tau=1}^j (\varphi_1)^{\tau-1} (\varphi_2)^{j-\tau} (\alpha_{21} \cdot w_{-\tau-1}) / (\xi_{11} \xi_{12}) & (\varphi_2)^j / \xi_{22} \\ + (\varphi_2)^j (\nu_1 \cdot w_{-2}) / \xi_{12} & \end{array} \right] \quad (18)$$

for $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ where $\varphi_1 = -\xi_{21} / \xi_{11}$ and $\varphi_2 = -\xi_{22} / \xi_{12}$. Since $|\varphi_1| < 1$ and $|\varphi_2| < 1$, and the entries of w and d have moments of all orders, it follows that $E(d|B^*) = d^*$ is in D and Assumption 8 of Hansen et al. is satisfied. In addition $\Psi(d^*)$ has moments of all orders which implies that $\Psi(d^*)$ is in D^- . It follows from Corollary 4.1 of Hansen et al. that $\text{Inf}(Z) = 1 / \{E[\Psi(d^*)' \Psi(d^*)]\}$.

In the third step we calculate $\Psi(d^*)$ which requires evaluating expectations of $\psi_j^j d_j$ conditioned on B^* for $j=0,1,2,\dots$. To perform these calculations requires more information about the process x than has been assumed so far. This computation is straightforward when x has a state space representation:

$$Y = A Y_{-1} + C w \quad \text{and} \quad x = H Y, \quad (19)$$

where Y is a p by 1 state vector, A is a p by p matrix with eigenvalues that have moduli that are less one, and C and H are p by q matrices of real numbers. In this case $\Psi(d^*)$ is given by:

$$\Psi(d^*) = \left[\begin{array}{c} Q \cdot Y_{-2} \\ R_1 + w_{-2}' R_2 Y_{-2} + Y_{-2}' R_3 Y_{-2} \end{array} \right] \quad (20)$$

where Q is a p -dimensional vector of real numbers, R_1 is a scalar real number, and R_2 and R_3 are p by p matrices of real numbers. The definitions for these parameters are as follows. Let $H_1 = [1 \ \beta_0 \ 0]H$ and $H_2 = [0 \ 1 \ 0]H$.

Then

$$Q = H_2(I - \varphi_1 A)^{-1} A^2 / \xi_{11}$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_1 = & \nu_1 \varphi_2 C' A^3 (I - \varphi_2 A')^{-1} H_2' / \xi_{12} - \alpha_{21} C' A^2 (I - \varphi_2 A')^{-1} H_2' / [\xi_{21} \xi_{11} (1 - \varphi_1)] \\ & + \left\{ 2\rho H_1 C C' H_2' + 2\rho H_1 \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \varphi_2^j A^{j+1} C C' A^{j+1} H_2' \right. \\ & \left. - H_2 \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \varphi_2^j A^{j+1} C C' A^j H_1' - H_1 \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \varphi_2^j A^{j+1} C C' A^j H_2' \right\} / [\xi_{12} (1 - \varphi_2)], \end{aligned}$$

$$R_2 = \nu_1 H_2 A^2 / \xi_{12}, \text{ and}$$

$$R_3 = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (\varphi_2)^j \left\{ 2\rho A^{2+j} H_2' H_1 A^{2+j} - A^{1+j} H_1' H_2 A^{2+j} - A^{1+j} H_2' H_1 A^{2+j} \right\} / \xi_{12}.$$

Note that the first entry of $\Psi(d^*)$ is a normally distributed random vector while the second entry is the translated sum of two quadratic forms of normally distributed random vectors.

In the final step we calculate $E[\Psi(d^*)' \Psi(d^*)]$. This involves computing up to fourth moments of Y and w using formulas for normally distributed random vectors. The efficiency bound is then given by $1/E[\Psi(d^*)' \Psi(d^*)]$. Thus the efficiency bound turns out to be insensitive to the choice of η , though the magnitude of η affects the size of the index set Z .

It is also possible to estimate β_0 using just the first conditional moment restriction and ignoring relation (2). There is a corresponding loss in asymptotic efficiency since the resulting efficiency bound is the reciprocal of the second moment of the first entry of $\Psi(d^*)$. As discussed in the Introduction, Hansen and Singleton (1987) found that this loss is substantial for their model.

References

- Barro, R. J. (1981), "On the Predictability of Tax-Rate Changes," unpublished manuscript.
- Breiman, L. (1968), *Probability*, Reading, Massachusetts: Addison-Wesley.
- Grossman, S., A. Melino, and A. Shiller (1987), "Estimating the Continuous Time Consumption Based Asset Pricing Model, " *Journal of Business and Economic Statistics*, 5, 315-327.
- Hall, R. E. (1988), "Intertemporal Substitution in Consumption," *Journal of Political Economy*, 96, 339-357.
- Hansen, L. P. (1982), "Large Sample Properties of Generalized Method of Moments Estimators," *Econometrica*, 50, 1029-1054.
- Hansen, L. P. (1985), "A Method for Calculating Bounds on the Asymptotic Covariance Matrices of Generalized Method of Moments Estimators," *Journal of Econometrics*, 30, 203-238.
- Hansen, L. P., J. C. Heaton, and M. Ogaki (1988), "Efficiency Bounds Implied by Multi-Period Conditional Moment Restrictions," *Journal of American Statistical Association*, 83, 863-871.
- Hansen, L. P., and K. J. Singleton (1988), "Efficient Estimation of Linear Asset Pricing Models with Moving Average Errors," unpublished manuscript.
- Rozanov, Y. A. (1967), *Stationary Processes*, San Francisco, Holden-Day.
- Working, H. (1960), "Note on the Correlation of First Differences of Averages in a Random Chain," *Econometrica*, 28, 916-918.

Rochester Center for Economic Research
University of Rochester
Department of Economics
Rochester, NY 14627

1987-88 DISCUSSION PAPERS

- WP#68 RECURSIVE UTILITY AND OPTIMAL CAPITAL ACCUMULATION, I: EXISTENCE,
by Robert A. Becker, John H. Boyd III, and Bom Yong Sung, January
1987
- WP#69 MONEY AND MARKET INCOMPLETENESS IN OVERLAPPING-GENERATIONS MODELS,
by Marianne Baxter, January 1987
- WP#70 GROWTH BASED ON INCREASING RETURNS DUE TO SPECIALIZATION
by Paul M. Romer, January 1987
- WP#71 WHY A STUBBORN CONSERVATIVE WOULD RUN A DEFICIT: POLICY WITH
TIME-INCONSISTENT PREFERENCES
by Torsten Persson and Lars E.O. Svensson, January 1987
- WP#72 ON THE CONTINUUM APPROACH OF SPATIAL AND SOME LOCAL PUBLIC GOODS OR
PRODUCT DIFFERENTIATION MODELS
by Marcus Berliant and Thijs ten Raa, January 1987
- WP#73 THE QUIT-LAYOFF DISTINCTION: GROWTH EFFECTS
by Kenneth J. McLaughlin, February 1987
- WP#74 SOCIAL SECURITY, LIQUIDITY, AND EARLY RETIREMENT
by James A. Kahn, March 1987
- WP#75 THE PRODUCT CYCLE HYPOTHESIS AND THE HECKSCHER-OHLIN-SAMUELSON THEORY
OF INTERNATIONAL TRADE
by Sugata Marjit, April 1987
- WP#76 NOTIONS OF EQUAL OPPORTUNITIES
by William Thomson, April 1987
- WP#77 BARGAINING PROBLEMS WITH UNCERTAIN DISAGREEMENT POINTS
by Youngsub Chun and William Thomson, April 1987
- WP#78 THE ECONOMICS OF RISING STARS
by Glenn M. MacDonald, April 1987
- WP#79 STOCHASTIC TRENDS AND ECONOMIC FLUCTUATIONS
by Robert King, Charles Plosser, James Stock, and Mark Watson,
April 1987
- WP#80 INTEREST RATE SMOOTHING AND PRICE LEVEL TREND-STATIONARITY
by Marvin Goodfriend, April 1987
- WP#81 THE EQUILIBRIUM APPROACH TO EXCHANGE RATES
by Alan C. Stockman, revised, April 1987

- WP#82 INTEREST-RATE SMOOTHING
by Robert J. Barro, May 1987
- WP#83 CYCLICAL PRICING OF DURABLE LUXURIES
by Mark Bils, May 1987
- WP#84 EQUILIBRIUM IN COOPERATIVE GAMES OF POLICY FORMULATION
by Thomas F. Cooley and Bruce D. Smith, May 1987
- WP#85 RENT SHARING AND TURNOVER IN A MODEL WITH EFFICIENCY UNITS OF HUMAN CAPITAL
by Kenneth J. McLaughlin, revised, May 1987
- WP#86 THE CYCLICALITY OF LABOR TURNOVER: A JOINT WEALTH MAXIMIZING HYPOTHESIS
by Kenneth J. McLaughlin, revised, May 1987
- WP#87 CAN EVERYONE BENEFIT FROM GROWTH? THREE DIFFICULTIES
by Herve' Moulin and William Thomson, May 1987
- WP#88 TRADE IN RISKY ASSETS
by Lars E.O. Svensson, May 1987
- WP#89 RATIONAL EXPECTATIONS MODELS WITH CENSORED VARIABLES
by Marianne Baxter, June 1987
- WP#90 EMPIRICAL EXAMINATIONS OF THE INFORMATION SETS OF ECONOMIC AGENTS
by Nils Gottfries and Torsten Persson, June 1987
- WP#91 DO WAGES VARY IN CITIES? AN EMPIRICAL STUDY OF URBAN LABOR MARKETS
by Eric A. Hanushek, June 1987
- WP#92 ASPECTS OF TOURNAMENT MODELS: A SURVEY
by Kenneth J. McLaughlin, July 1987
- WP#93 ON MODELLING THE NATURAL RATE OF UNEMPLOYMENT WITH INDIVISIBLE LABOR
by Jeremy Greenwood and Gregory W. Huffman
- WP#94 TWENTY YEARS AFTER: ECONOMETRICS, 1966-1986
by Adrian Pagan, August 1987
- WP#95 ON WELFARE THEORY AND URBAN ECONOMICS
by Marcus Berliant, Yorgos Y. Papageorgiou and Ping Wang,
August 1987
- WP#96 ENDOGENOUS FINANCIAL STRUCTURE IN AN ECONOMY WITH PRIVATE INFORMATION
by James Kahn, August 1987
- WP#97 THE TRADE-OFF BETWEEN CHILD QUANTITY AND QUALITY: SOME EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE
by Eric Hanushek, September 1987

- WP#98 SUPPLY AND EQUILIBRIUM IN AN ECONOMY WITH LAND AND PRODUCTION
by Marcus Berliant and Hou-Wen Jeng, September 1987
- WP#99 AXIOMS CONCERNING UNCERTAIN DISAGREEMENT POINTS FOR 2-PERSON
BARGAINING PROBLEMS
by Youngsub Chun, September 1987
- WP#100 MONEY AND INFLATION IN THE AMERICAN COLONIES: FURTHER EVIDENCE ON
THE FAILURE OF THE QUANTITY THEORY
by Bruce Smith, October 1987
- WP#101 BANK PANICS, SUSPENSIONS, AND GEOGRAPHY: SOME NOTES ON THE
"CONTAGION OF FEAR" IN BANKING
by Bruce Smith, October 1987
- WP#102 LEGAL RESTRICTIONS, "SUNSPOTS", AND CYCLES
by Bruce Smith, October 1987
- WP#103 THE QUIT-LAYOFF DISTINCTION IN A JOINT WEALTH MAXIMIZING APPROACH TO
LABOR TURNOVER
by Kenneth McLaughlin, October 1987
- WP#104 ON THE INCONSISTENCY OF THE MLE IN CERTAIN HETEROSKEDASTIC REGRESSION
MODELS
by Adrian Pagan and H. Sabau, October 1987
- WP#105 RECURRENT ADVERTISING
by Ignatius J. Horstmann and Glenn M. MacDonald, October 1987
- WP#106 PREDICTIVE EFFICIENCY FOR SIMPLE NONLINEAR MODELS
by Thomas F. Cooley, William R. Parke and Siddhartha Chib,
October 1987
- WP#107 CREDIBILITY OF MACROECONOMIC POLICY: AN INTRODUCTION AND A BROAD
SURVEY
by Torsten Persson, November 1987
- WP#108 SOCIAL CONTRACTS AS ASSETS: A POSSIBLE SOLUTION TO THE
TIME-CONSISTENCY PROBLEM
by Laurence Kotlikoff, Torsten Persson and Lars E. O. Svensson,
November 1987
- WP#109 EXCHANGE RATE VARIABILITY AND ASSET TRADE
by Torsten Persson and Lars E. O. Svensson, November 1987
- WP#110 MICROFOUNDATIONS OF INDIVISIBLE LABOR
by Vittorio Grilli and Richard Rogerson, November 1987
- WP#111 FISCAL POLICIES AND THE DOLLAR/POUND EXCHANGE RATE: 1870-1984
by Vittorio Grilli, November 1987
- WP#112 INFLATION AND STOCK RETURNS WITH COMPLETE MARKETS
by Thomas Cooley and Jon Sonstelie, November 1987

- WP#113 THE ECONOMETRIC ANALYSIS OF MODELS WITH RISK TERMS
by Adrian Pagan and Aman Ullah, December 1987
- WP#114 PROGRAM TARGETING OPTIONS AND THE ELDERLY
by Eric Hanushek and Roberton Williams, December 1987
- WP#115 BARGAINING SOLUTIONS AND STABILITY OF GROUPS
by Youngsub Chun and William Thomson, December 1987
- WP#116 MONOTONIC ALLOCATION MECHANISMS
by William Thomson, December 1987
- WP#117 MONOTONIC ALLOCATION MECHANISMS IN ECONOMIES WITH PUBLIC GOODS
by William Thomson, December 1987
- WP#118 ADVERSE SELECTION, AGGREGATE UNCERTAINTY, AND THE ROLE FOR MUTUAL
INSURANCE COMPANIES
by Bruce D. Smith and Michael J. Stutzer, February 1988
- WP#119 INTEREST ON RESERVES AND SUNSPOT EQUILIBRIA: FRIEDMAN'S PROPOSAL
RECONSIDERED
by Bruce D. Smith, February 1988
- WP#120 INTERNATIONAL FINANCIAL INTERMEDIATION AND AGGREGATE FLUCTUATIONS
UNDER ALTERNATIVE EXCHANGE RATE REGIMES
by Jeremy Greenwood and Stephen D. Williamson, February 1988
- WP#121 FINANCIAL DEREGULATION, MONETARY POLICY, AND CENTRAL BANKING
by Marvin Goodfriend and Robert G. King, February 1988
- WP#122 BANK RUNS IN OPEN ECONOMIES AND THE INTERNATIONAL TRANSMISSION OF
PANICS
by Peter M. Garber and Vittorio U. Grilli, March 1988
- WP#123 CAPITAL ACCUMULATION IN THE THEORY OF LONG RUN GROWTH
by Paul M. Romer, March 1988
- WP#124 FINANCIAL INTERMEDIATION AND ENDOGENOUS GROWTH
by Valerie R. Bencivenga and Bruce D. Smith, March 1988
- WP#125 UNEMPLOYMENT, THE VARIABILITY OF HOURS, AND THE PERSISTENCE OF
"DISTURBANCES": A PRIVATE INFORMATION APPROACH
by Bruce D. Smith, March 1988
- WP#126 WHAT CAN BE DONE WITH BAD SCHOOL PERFORMANCE DATA?
by Eric Hanushek and Lori Taylor, March 1988
- WP#127 EQUILIBRIUM MARKETING STRATEGIES: IS THERE ADVERTISING, IN TRUTH?
by Ignatius Horstmann and Glenn MacDonald, revised, March 1988
- WP#128 REAL EXCHANGE RATE VARIABILITY UNDER PEGGED AND FLOATING NOMINAL
EXCHANGE RATE SYSTEMS: AN EQUILIBRIUM THEORY
by Alan C. Stockman, April 1988

- WP#129 POST-SAMPLE PREDICTION TESTS FOR GENERALIZED METHOD OF MOMENT ESTIMATORS
by Dennis Hoffman and Adrian Pagan, April 1988
- WP#130 GOVERNMENT SPENDING IN A SIMPLE MODEL OF ENDOGENOUS GROWTH
by Robert J. Barro, May 1988
- WP#131 FINANCIAL DEVELOPMENT, GROWTH, AND THE DISTRIBUTION OF INCOME
by Jeremy Greenwood and Boyan Jovanovic, May 1988
- WP#132 EMPLOYMENT AND HOURS OVER THE BUSINESS CYCLE
by Jang-Ok Cho and Thomas F. Cooley, May 1988
- WP#133 A REFINEMENT AND EXTENSION OF THE NO-ENVY CONCEPT
by Dimitrios Diamantaras and William Thomson, May 1988
- WP#134 NASH SOLUTION AND UNCERTAIN DISAGREEMENT POINTS
by Youngsub Chun and William Thomson, May 1988
- WP#135 NON-PARAMETRIC ESTIMATION AND THE RISK PREMIUM
by Adrian Pagan and Y. Hong, May 1988
- WP#136 CHARACTERIZING THE NASH BARGAINING SOLUTION WITHOUT PARETO-OPTIMALITY
by Terje Lensberg and William Thomson, May 1988
- WP#137 SOME SIMULATION STUDIES OF NON-PARAMETRIC ESTIMATORS
by Y. Hong and A. Pagan, June 1988
- WP#138 SELF-FULFILLING EXPECTATIONS, SPECULATIVE ATTACKS AND CAPITAL CONTROLS
by Harris Dellas and Alan C. Stockman, June 1988
- WP#139 APPROXIMATING SUBOPTIMAL DYNAMIC EQUILIBRIA: AN EULER EQUATION APPROACH
by Marianne Baxter, June 1988
- WP#140 BUSINESS CYCLES AND THE EXCHANGE RATE SYSTEM: SOME INTERNATIONAL EVIDENCE
by Marianne Baxter and Alan C. Stockman, June 1988
- WP#141 RENT SHARING IN AN EQUILIBRIUM MODEL OF MATCHING AND TURNOVER
by Kenneth J. McLaughlin, June 1988
- WP#142 CO-MOVEMENTS IN RELATIVE COMMODITY PRICES AND INTERNATIONAL CAPITAL FLOWS: A SIMPLE MODEL
by Ronald W. Jones, July 1988
- WP#143 WAGE SENSITIVITY RANKINGS AND TEMPORAL CONVERGENCE
by Ronald W. Jones and Peter Neary, July 1988
- WP#144 FOREIGN MONOPOLY AND OPTIMAL TARIFFS FOR THE SMALL OPEN ECONOMY
by Ronald W. Jones and Shumpei Takemori, July 1988

- WP#145 THE ROLE OF SERVICES IN PRODUCTION AND INTERNATIONAL TRADE: A THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK
by Ronald W. Jones and Henryk Kierzkowski, July 1988
- WP#146 APPRAISING THE OPTIONS FOR INTERNATIONAL TRADE IN SERVICES
by Ronald W. Jones and Frances Ruane, July 1988
- WP#147 SIMPLE METHODS OF ESTIMATION AND INFERENCE FOR SYSTEMS CHARACTERIZED BY DETERMINISTIC CHAOS
by Mahmoud El-Gamal, August 1988
- WP#148 THE RICARDIAN APPROACH TO BUDGET DEFICITS
by Robert J. Barro, August 1988
- WP#149 A MODEL OF NOMINAL CONTRACTS
by Bruce D. Smith, August 1988
- WP#150 A BUSINESS CYCLE MODEL WITH PRIVATE INFORMATION
by Bruce D. Smith, August 1988
- WP#151 ASYMPTOTIC LIKELIHOOD BASED PREDICTION FUNCTIONS
by Thomas F. Cooley, August 1988
- WP#152 MORAL HAZARD, IMPERFECT RISK-SHARING, AND THE BEHAVIOR OF ASSET RETURNS
by James A. Kahn, August 1988
- WP#153 SPECIALIZATION, TRANSACTIONS TECHNOLOGIES, AND MONEY GROWTH
by Harold Cole and Alan C. Stockman, August 1988
- WP#154 SAVINGS, INVESTMENT AND INTERNATIONAL CAPITAL FLOWS
by Linda L. Tesar, August 1988
- WP#155 THE INFLATION TAX IN A REAL BUSINESS CYCLE MODEL
by Thomas F. Cooley and Gary D. Hansen, August 1988
- WP#156 RAW MATERIALS, PROCESSING ACTIVITIES AND PROTECTIONISM
by Ronald W. Jones and Barbara J. Spencer, September 1988
- WP#157 A TEST OF THE HARRIS ERGODICITY OF STATIONARY DYNAMICAL ECONOMIC MODELS
by Ian Domowitz and Mahmoud El-Gamal, September 1988
- WP#158 SYMMETRIC STOCHASTIC GAMES OF RESOURCE EXTRACTION: THE EXISTENCE OF NON-RANDOMIZED STATIONARY EQUILIBRIUM
by Mukul Majumdar and Rangarajan Sundaram, September 1988
- WP#159 SOME CONSEQUENCES OF CREDIT RATIONING IN AN ENDOGENOUS GROWTH MODEL
by Valerie Bencivenga and Bruce Smith, September 1988
- WP#160 COMPETITIVE DIFFUSION
by Boyan Jovanovic and Glenn MacDonald, September 1988

- WP#161 EXCHANGE RATES, THE CURRENT ACCOUNT, AND MONETARY POLICY
by Alan C. Stockman, October 1988
- WP#162 DIAGNOSTIC TESTS FOR MODELS BASED ON INDIVIDUAL DATA: A SURVEY
by Adrian Pagan and Frank Vella, October 1988
- WP#163 EFFICIENCY BOUND CALCULATIONS FOR A TIME SERIES MODEL WITH
CONDITIONAL HETEROSKEDASTICITY
by John Heaton and Masao Ogaki, November 1988

To order copies of the above papers complete the attached invoice and return to Mrs. Terry Fisher, RCER, 223 Harkness Hall, University of Rochester, Rochester, NY 14627. The first three (3) papers requested will be provided free of charge. Each additional paper will require a \$3.00 service fee which must be enclosed with your order. Annual subscriptions (January 1 to December 31 only) are also available for a \$50.00 fee. For your convenience an invoice is provided below in order that you may request payment from your institution as necessary. Please make your check payable to the **Rochester Center for Economic Research**.

OFFICIAL INVOICE

Requestor's Name _____

Requestor's Address _____

Please send me the following papers free of charge (Limit: 3 free per year).

WP# _____ WP# _____ WP# _____

I understand there is a \$3.00 fee for each additional paper. Enclosed is my check or money order in the amount of \$ _____. Please send me the following papers.

WP# _____ WP# _____ WP# _____

WP# _____ WP# _____ WP# _____

WP# _____ WP# _____ WP# _____

WP# _____ WP# _____ WP# _____

Annual Subscription - \$50.00 Fee Enclosed _____